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ABOUT THE ALLIANCE OF ARTISTS COMMUNITIES

The Alliance of Artists Communities was founded in 1991 and is the national and international association of artists’ communities and residencies – a diverse field of more than 1,000 programs worldwide that support artists of any discipline in the creation of new work. In short, they are research-and-development labs for the arts, providing visual artists, writers, dancemakers, composers, filmmakers, designers, and others with time, space, and support for creative development. Believing that the cultivation of new art and ideas is essential to human progress, the Alliance's mission is to advocate for and support artists’ communities and residencies, to advance the endeavors of artists.

The hallmark of this field is trust in artists and the creative process, providing support for creative exploration without demands for production or expectations of outcome. As such, the Alliance of Artists Communities is critically positioned to address the state of research-and-development in dance, and we ask our peers – educators, funders, commissioners, presenters, researchers, and professional service providers, for example – to join with us in developing greater resources for dancemakers.

ORGINS OF THIS STUDY

In August 2009, the Maggie Alleece National Center for Choreography (MANCC) convened Choreographic Research and Development / Advancing the National Dialogue. The conference brought together an array of dance artists, university dance departments, funders, presenters, service organizations, and representatives of artist residency programs to explore ways of facilitating research and development in the field of dance in the United States. In preparation for the conference, the Alliance of Artists Communities conducted a brief survey of artist residency programs to determine how many currently support dance and how many are interested in expanding such support. The initial responses laid the groundwork for a larger project, begun in early 2010 in partnership with The Andrew W. Mellon Foundation, to examine the landscape of artist residencies for dancemakers: how does the field of artist residencies currently support dance, how well are dancemakers aware of this support, and what resources are needed for the field to better support dancemakers? Rather than the end product, this study is just one critical phase in this project, and we look forward to our ongoing work to support today’s dancemakers in their creative development.

WHY CONDUCT THIS WORK

There are approximately 900 residency programs in North America and more than 1,000 worldwide providing artists of all disciplines with dedicated time and space for the development of new work. Programs in the US provide residencies to more than 25,000 artists annually, though fewer than 10% of these participants are dancemakers.

On the whole, dancemakers are under-served and under-resourced as compared to other artists. According to the National Endowment for the Arts’ 2008 report, "Artists in the Workforce," there were 25,653 dancers and choreographers in the US as of 2005, of which only 49% were employed full-time (compared to 72% of all artists and 78% of the total labor force). And while the median income for artists in 2005 was $30,000 (from all income sources, not just art-related income), dancers and choreographers earned the least – just $15,000 a year.

Support for the creation of new dance is, by many accounts, in crisis. Dancemakers note a lack of adequate time and resources to develop new work, a shortage of suitable space, and dwindling audiences that understand and appreciate contemporary dance. Without support and resources to fully develop new work, the work itself suffers, further diminishing audiences for dance and perpetuating a cycle of decline.

Indeed, a recent report by NYC Performing Arts Spaces notes, “Midcareer single choreographer-led dance companies...have expressed the concern that their work is not of the calibre they aspire to, largely because rental rehearsal space is not available and not affordable for the extent of time needed.”

In conducting research for this report, time and again we came across evidence of a “make do” ethos – from NYC Performing Arts Spaces’ report (“We Make Do: More Time is Better, But Budget is King”) to the Urban Institute’s groundbreaking “Investing in Creativity Study,” demonstrating how dance is undermined by sub-par spaces, minuscule resources, and expectations that dancemakers will “make do.” “I think dancers are used to taking what they can get,” writes one survey respondent. “The more support you could offer, the better it would be. But mostly, we make work with what we find available.” The Alliance has conducted research with artists of all disciplines for two decades, and no other group has so clearly and consistently stated the scarcity of support for their work. “I feel like dancers put up with things no one in any other profession would,” says choreographer Tatyana Tenenbaum in an August 2010 interview with The New York Observer.

“We are all creating work on a ridiculously short time frame – the work has really suffered,” writes Judy Smith of AXIS. “[Residencies] give rep companies like AXIS – who don’t have the opportunity to do R&D – time to workshop and time to explore.” Residencies are one important component of the greater ecosystem of support for artists. They do not exist in isolation from the training, commissioning, funding, presenting, and professional development that are also parts of that eco-system, though residencies are often the only phase in an artist’s creative development to stop, reflect, and explore a new direction without demands for outcome. If dance is to flourish, it is essential that the field of residencies provide greater opportunities and resources for the development of new dance.

GUIDING QUESTIONS

We began this project by asking the following: • What residencies currently exist that support the development of new dance? • To what extent are dancemakers aware of residency opportunities? • What do dancemakers value most about residencies? • What support do residencies offer for the creative dance process? • What can residencies do to extend opportunities to dancemakers? • What resources are needed in order for residencies to provide greater support for dance? • How can the Alliance of Artists Communities, dance organizations, funders, and others provide greater support for dancemakers’ creative development?

RESEARCH DESIGN

At the outset of the study, we defined the primary research terms as follows:

• Artist Residency: A program that provides dedicated time and space for the creation of new work and development of creative practice (as distinct from performance-based or teaching residencies). These creative residencies are also known as artist communities, colonies, and retreats.

• Dancemaker: an individual or group that is generating new work in dance (dancemakers may include choreographers, dancers, set and lighting designers, dramaturges, and others).

• Dance Residency: any artist residency program in which dancemakers are eligible to participate, whether the residency program exclusively supports dance or also provides residencies for visual artists, writers, etc.

• Early-stage Dance Residency: A residency program that supports the exploration of ideas, pure research, and/or the initial planning of new work in dance.

• Late-stage Dance Residency: A residency program that supports group development, production, and/or public presentation of new work in dance.

1 NYC Performing Arts Spaces, “We Make Do: More Time is Better, But Budget is King” (2010).
INTRODUCTION + FRAMEWORK

ABOUT THE ALLIANCE OF ARTISTS COMMUNITIES

The Alliance of Artists Communities was founded in 1995 and is the national and international association of artists’ communities and residencies – a diverse field of more than 3,000 programs worldwide that support artists of any discipline in the creation of new work. In short, they are research and development labs for the arts, providing visual artists, writers, dancers, composers, filmmakers, designers, and others with time, space, and support for creative development. Believing that the cultivation of new art and ideas is essential to human progress, the Alliance’s mission is to advocate for and support artists’ communities and residencies, to advance the endeavors of artists.

The hallmark of this field is trust in artists and the creative process, providing support for creative exploration without demands for production or expectations of outcome. As such, the Alliance of Artists Communities is critically positioned to address the state of research-and-development in dance, and we ask our peers – educators, funders, commissioners, presenters, researchers, and professional service providers, for example – to join with us in developing greater resources for dancemakers.

ORIGINS OF THIS STUDY

In August 2009, the Maggie Allesee National Center for Choreography (MANCC) convened Choreographic Research and Development / Advancing the National Dialogue. The conference brought together an array of dance artists, university dance departments, funders, presenters, service organizations, and representatives of artist residency programs to explore ways of facilitating research and development in the field of dance in the United States. In preparation for the conference, the Alliance of Artists Communities conducted a brief survey of artist residency programs to determine how many currently support dance and how many are interested in expanding such support. The initial responses laid the groundwork for a larger project, begun in early 2010 in partnership with The Andrew W. Mellon Foundation, to examine the landscape of artist residencies for dancemakers: how does the field of artist residencies currently support dance, how well are dancemakers aware of this support, and what resources are needed for the field to better support dancemakers? Rather than the end product, this study is just one critical phase in this project, and we look forward to our ongoing work to support today’s dancemakers in their creative development.

WHY CONDUCT THIS WORK

There are approximately 900 residency programs in North America and more than 5,000 worldwide providing artists of all disciplines with dedicated time and space for the development of new work. Programs in the US provide residencies to more than 19,000 artists annually, though fewer than 10% of these participants are dancemakers.

On the whole, dancemakers are under-served and under-resourced even as compared to other artists. According to the National Endowment for the Arts’ 2008 report, “Arts in the Workforce,” there were 55,653 dancers and choreographers in the US as of 2005, of which only 22% were employed full-time (compared to 72% of all artists and 78% of the total labor force). And while the median income for artists in 1999 was $25,000 (from all income sources, not just art-related income), dancers and choreographers earned the least — just $15,000 a year.

Support for the creation of new dance is, by many accounts, in crisis. Dancemakers note a lack of adequate time and resources to develop new work, a shortage of suitable space, and dwindling audiences that understand and appreciate contemporary dance. Without support and resources to fully develop new work, the work itself suffers, further diminishing audiences for dance and perpetuating a cycle of decline.

Indeed, a recent report by NYC Performing Arts Spaces notes, “Midcareer single choreographer-led dance companies… have expressed the concern that their work is not of the caliber they aspire to, largely because rental rehearsal space is not available and not affordable for the extent of time needed.”

In conducting research for this report, time and again we came across evidence of a “make do” ethos – from NYC Performing Arts Spaces’ report (“We Make Do: More Time is Better, But Budget is King”) to the Urban Institute’s groundbreaking “Investing in Creativity Study,” demonstrating how dance is undermined by sub-par spaces, miniscule resources, and expectations that dancemakers will “make do.” “I think dancers are used to taking what they can get,” writes one survey respondent. “The more support you could offer, the better it would be. But mostly, we work with what we find available.” The Alliance has conducted research with artists of all disciplines for two decades, and no other group has so clearly and consistently stated the scarcity of support for their work. “I feel like dancers put up with things no one in any other profession would,” says choreographer Tatyana Tenenbaum in an August 2010 interview with The New York Observer.

“We are all creating work on a ridiculously short time frame – the work has really suffered,” writes Judy Smith of AXIS. “(Residencies) give rep companies like AXIS – who don’t have the opportunity to do R&D – time to workshop and time to explore.” Residencies are one important component of the greater ecosystem of support for artists. They do not exist in isolation from the training, commissioning, funding, presenting, and professional development that are also parts of that ecosystem, though residencies are often the only phase in an artist’s creative development to stop, reflect, and explore a new direction without demands for outcome. If dance is to flourish, it is essential that the field of residencies provide greater opportunities and resources for the development of new dance.

GUIDING QUESTIONS

We began this project by asking the following:

• What residencies currently exist that support the development of new dance?
• To what extent are dancemakers aware of residency opportunities?
• What do dancemakers value most about residencies?
• What support do residencies offer for the creative dance process?

RESEARCH DESIGN

At the outset of the study, we defined the primary research terms as follows:

• Artist Residency: a program that provides dedicated time and space for the creation of new work and development of creative practice (as distinct from performance-based or teaching residencies). These creative residencies are also known as artist communities, colonies, and retreats.

• Dance Residency: any artist residency program in which dancemakers are eligible to participate, whether the residency program exclusively supports dance or also provides residencies for visual artists, writers, etc.

• Early-stage Dance Residency: a residency program that supports the exploration of ideas, pure research, and/or the initial planning of new work in dance.

• Mid-stage Dance Residency: a residency program that supports the further development of new work, rehearsals, and/or the presentation of works-in-progress in dance.

• Late-stage Dance Residency: a residency program that supports group development, production, and/or public presentation of new work in dance.

• What can residencies do to extend opportunities to dancemakers?
• What resources are needed in order for residencies to provide greater support for dance?
• How can the Alliance of Artists Communities, dance organizations, funders, and others provide greater support for dancemakers’ creative development?

1  Alliance of Artists Communities
2 NYC Performing Arts Spaces, “We Make Do: More Time is Better, But Budget is King” (2010).

SNAK3E, a site-specific performance by Carrie Ahern Dance, with audience at Lower Manhattan Cultural Council’s Vauls at 14 Wall Street. Photo by Lower Manhattan Cultural Council. 

Photo by Centrum Foundation

KT Niehoff Dance, Residency Open Studio at Centrum Foundation. Photo by Centrum Foundation
KEY FINDINGS

RESIDENCIES FOR DANCE: CURRENT LANDSCAPE

When the Alliance of Artists Communities began this study in early 2010, we knew of only 64 residency programs for dance. To-date we have identified 124 dance residencies in the US and Canada – in 36 states and 3 provinces – and estimate at least another 40 not yet confirmed. While the number of residencies for dance is encouraging, these 124 programs provided residencies to fewer than 900 dancemakers in 2009.

The vast majority of dance residencies are administered by nonprofit arts organizations, and while many are stand-alone residency programs others are associated with colleges and universities, presenting organizations, museums, and other institutions. There are dozens more outside North America and we look forward to conducting further research on international opportunities.5

The good news is there is an abundance of residency opportunities around the world open to dance in general. The bad news is two-fold: many of these opportunities are underutilized due to a lack of information, misperceptions about the support available, and limited funds to take advantage of what is offered; and there is a scarcity of residency programs with the capacity to fully support the specific needs of dance, particularly in the mid- and late stages of developing new work.

DANCE RESIDENCIES AT-A-GLANCE (NORTH AMERICA)

There are approximately 500 residency programs in North America and greater than 30% offer support for dance – exclusively or in addition to support for visual arts, writing, music, film, and other disciplines. Dance residencies vary widely in their support for each stage of the dance-making process, as well as in location, size, and facilities. A dancemaker may participate in a rural retreat in the Blue Ridge Mountains of Appalachia, for example, a creative incubator in a small Midwestern town, or an urban residency program in downtown Manhattan.

Total number of artist residency programs in North America:
• 500 (estimate)
• that support collaborative/group residencies: 94% of all residencies (465)
• that offer support to dancemakers: 33% (164)
• with dance studios: 14% (68)
• that exclusively or predominantly support the development of new dance and other performance-based work: 30% (60)

BARRIERS TO PARTICIPATION IN DANCE RESIDENCIES

Awareness

While many dancemakers know residencies for dance exist, there is a lack of awareness about the breadth and diversity of opportunities available. Of the nearly 600 survey respondents, approximately 75% of dancemakers are aware of the existence of dance residencies, though most indicate only knowing of two or three different programs. Not surprisingly, dancemakers are most familiar with those residency programs that serve dance exclusively – MANCC, Jacob’s Pillow, and The Yard, for example. Dancemakers find researching residencies to be challenging, particularly in comparing facilities, resources, and expectations, and say that despite “always searching,” finding appropriate residencies that support their work remains difficult.

To this end, the Alliance is further developing its online database of residency programs to include more of those that offer support for dance and is creating dance-specific online resources so that dancemakers have a single go-to place for finding out about residency opportunities.

“Studied nearly 400 artist residency programs to better understand the field’s current support for dancemakers.”

1 The Alliance’s research for this report focused primarily on North American artist residency programs. We have included 34 dance residency programs outside of North America, in 35 countries, to provide an international sample, and the list is not intended to be representative or comprehensive. We plan to conduct more extensive research on international dance residencies in 2011 in collaboration with Ban Arts and will post the results of that research online.

2 See Appendix B for a complete list of dance residencies confirmed to-date.
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METHODOLOGY

From February to August 2010, we:
• studied nearly 400 artist residency programs to better understand the field’s current support for dancemakers
• conducted an extensive survey of residency programs – with approximately 200 respondents – to document their programs, facilities, and other resources available to dancemakers
• surveyed approximately 600 individual dancemakers to gauge their awareness and experience with dance residencies, and better understand what they most value about these opportunities
• interviewed key dancemakers and residency directors
• engaged funders, researchers, and others interested in dance

NEXT STEPS FOR THE ALLIANCE’S DANCE PROJECT

• Gather more data on international dance residency programs
• Continue to identify dance residency programs within universities
• Develop the capacity of dance residency programs through professional development opportunities, including the Alliance of Artists Communities’ annual conference, Leadership Institute, and Emerging Program Institute
• Further develop an online database of artist residencies to include more programs that support dance, and create online research tools specific to dance
• Connect with networks of dancemakers for ongoing dialogue about how residency programs can better respond to their needs
• Build greater connectivity between the field of dance and the field of residencies for increased understanding, information-sharing, and outreach
• Provide models to residency programs on partnerships for presenting and sharing facilities and resources
• Advocate to funders, policy-makers, and other cultural leaders about the need for greater support for dancemakers and their creative development

Mexican choreographer Claudia Lavista, in-residence at Bates Dance Festival (2010). Photo by Arthur Fink

Bronwen MacArthur, 2010-2011 choreographer-in-residence at Susan Hess Dance. Photo by Anja Nielenberger

“While many dancemakers know residencies for dance exist, there is a lack of awareness about the breadth and diversity of opportunities available. Of the nearly 600 survey respondents, approximately 75% of dancemakers are aware of the existence of dance residencies, though most indicate only knowing of two or three different programs. Not surprisingly, dancemakers are most familiar with those residency programs that serve dance exclusively – MANCC, Jacob’s Pillow, and The Yard, for example. Dancemakers find researching residencies to be challenging, particularly in comparing facilities, resources, and expectations, and say that despite “always searching,” finding appropriate residencies that support their work remains difficult.”
RESIDENCIES FOR DANCE: CURRENT LANDSCAPE

When the Alliance of Artists Communities began this study in early 2010, we knew of only 64 residency programs for dance. To-date we have identified 124 dance residencies in the US and Canada – in 36 states and 3 provinces – and estimate at least another 40 not yet confirmed. While the number of residencies for dance is encouraging, these 124 programs provided residencies to fewer than 900 dancemakers in 2009.

The vast majority of dance residencies are administered by nonprofit arts organizations, and while many are stand-alone residency programs others are associated with colleges and universities, presenting organizations, museums, and other institutions. There are dozens more outside North America and we look forward to conducting further research on international opportunities.1

The good news is there is an abundance of residency opportunities around the world open to dance in general. The bad news is...there is a scarcity of residency programs with the capacity to fully support the specific needs of dance, particularly in the mid- and late stages of developing new work.

BARRIERS TO PARTICIPATION IN DANCE RESIDENCIES

Awareness

While many dancemakers know residencies for dance exist, there is a lack of awareness about the breadth and diversity of opportunities available. Of the nearly 600 survey respondents, approximately 75% of dancemakers are aware of the existence of dance residencies, though most indicate only knowing of two or three different programs. Not surprisingly, dancemakers are most familiar with those residency programs that serve dance exclusively – MANCC, Jacob's Pillow, and The Yard, for example. Dancemakers find researching residencies to be challenging, particularly in comparing facilities, resources, and expectations, and say that despite "always searching," finding appropriate residencies that support their work remains difficult.

To this end, the Alliance is further developing its online database of residency programs to include more of those that offer support for dance and is creatingdance-specific online resources so that dancemakers have a single go-to place for finding out about residency opportunities.

NEXT STEPS FOR THE ALLIANCE’S DANCE PROJECT

• Gather more data on international dance residency programs
• Continue to identify dance residency programs within universities
• Develop the capacity of dance residency programs through professional development opportunities, including the Alliance of Artists Communities’ annual conference, Leadership Institute, and Emerging Program Institute
• Further develop an online database of artist residencies to include more programs that support dance, and create online research tools specific to dance
• Connect with networks of dancemakers for ongoing dialogue about how residency programs can better respond to their needs
• Build greater connectivity between the field of dance and the field of residencies for increased understanding, information-sharing, and outreach
• Provide models to residency programs on partnerships for presenting and sharing facilities and resources
• Advocate to funders, policy-makers, and other cultural leaders about the need for greater support for dancemakers and their creative development

““The good news is there is an abundance of residency opportunities around the world open to dance in general. The bad news is...there is a scarcity of residency programs with the capacity to fully support the specific needs of dance, particularly in the mid- and late stages of developing new work.”

1. See Appendix B for a complete list of dance residencies confirmed to-date.
2. The Alliance’s research for this report focused primarily on North American artist residency programs. We have included 34 dance residency programs outside of North America, in 20 countries, to provide an international example, and the list is not intended to be representative or comprehensive. We plan to conduct more extensive research on international dance residencies in 2011 in collaboration with Ban Arts and will post the results of that research online.

bronwen macarthur, 2010-2011 choreographer-in-residence at susan hess dance. photo by anja hitzenberger

mexican choreographer Claudia Lavista, in-residence at Bates Dance Festival (2010). Photo by Arthur Fink

DANCE RESIDENCIES AT-A-G LANCE (NORTH AMERICA)

There are approximately 500 residency programs in North America and greater than 36% offer support for dance – exclusively or in addition to support for visual arts, writing, music, film, and other disciplines. Dance residencies vary widely in their support for each stage of the dance-making process, as well as in location, size, and facilities. A dancemaker may participate in a rural retreat in the Blue Ridge Mountains of Appalachia, for example, a creative incubator in a small Midwestern town, or an urban residency program in downtown Manhattan.

Total number of artist residency programs in North America:
• 300 (estimate)
• that support collaborative/group residencies: 41% of all residencies
• that offer support to dancemakers: 33% (164)
• with dance studios: 18% (68)
• that exclusively or predominantly support the development of new dance and other performance-based work: 10% (56)

Bronwen MacArthur, 2010-2011 choreographer-in-residence at Susan Hess Dance. Photo by Anja Hitzenberger
Perception

Only 46% of dancemakers surveyed have participated in a residency program. For the others, there are many perception (or misperception) barriers that keep dancemakers from even applying to residencies.

Some common misperceptions include:

- A specific outcome (product, performance, etc.) is expected at the end of a residency
- Residencies do not offer the flexibility to accommodate artists working across disciplines
- Residencies only support visual artists or writers
- Most residencies charge artists to attend
- Residencies only accommodate a single artist working alone
- Residencies are solitary and not engaged in the community

Accurate and accessible information is critical so that dancemakers can take advantage of the many opportunities available to them, and residency programs can improve their communications to address these common misperceptions. The Alliance, too, can address these barriers by providing more information tailored to the needs of dancemakers.

Cost of Participating

While all artistic disciplines are under-resourced, the dance community has been hit especially hard by the recent recession. According to Dance/USA’s 2009 “Rough Waters Survey,” 84% of dancemakers and dance presenting organizations have suffered a significant decrease in foundation support and 47% report that individual donations have significantly fallen short of expectations in recent years. For an already under-resourced field, this is particularly discouraging.

We cannot overstate the financial challenges faced by dancemakers. Taking a week, a month, or a year to focus on the development of new work is a costly endeavor for any artist. Few dancemakers who are given the opportunity to present their work are also provided with resources and an ample amount of time to develop that work with all the key players involved in production. Dancemakers in particular require a great deal of resources to bring new work to fruition: wages to dancers during all stages of development; payment to set, lighting, and video designers; directors, composers, and musicians; space rental; marketing; costumes; and more. Sixty-one percent of all artist residencies offer their programs at no cost to all participants and more than 25% offer stipends to artists-in-residence, though very few have sufficient funds to support the level of resources needed by dancemakers, particularly in the later stages of their development process. And while a single choreographer working in solitude might find a free residency or a modest stipend adequate, most dancemakers must take on significant personal expense paying fees to dancers and other collaborators or forgo residency opportunities altogether.

In an effort to differentiate among the variety of dance residencies, we have divided residency programs into the stages of dance development each supports: early-, mid-, and late stage. Similarly, NYC Performing Arts Spaces in its “We Make Do” report outlines four corresponding stages and proposes that residencies “serve the key junctures in the creative process, time for research and reflection, initial explorations with dancers, choreography and rehearsals, and extending to a more technically-outfitted, pre-production/design development period.” Naturally, these are loose definitions of a creative process that exists along a continuum, and residencies may offer support to artists during one or more stages.

EVALUATION OF DANCE RESIDENCIES

A residency program that supports the exploration of ideas, research, and/or the initial planning of new work in dance

The majority of residency programs that offer support for dance fall into this early-stage category (60%), and 82% of dancemakers surveyed are interested in participating in an early-stage dance residency. These residencies often have little in terms of dance-specific resources (dance studios, theater space, and stipends for dancers and other collaborators, for example), but provide ample time for research and reflection, general-purpose space for working and performing, and a supportive environment in which to create and exchange ideas with other artists. Residency programs are deeply committed to supporting artists working in the early stages of developing new work. Regardless, these opportunities are particularly unknown and underutilized by dancemakers.

Research and Reflect

Dancemakers express an interest in the opportunity to experiment, make mistakes, revise ideas, and remain purely focused on the creative process without the pressure that accompanies commissioned work. Correspondingly, 94% of artist residencies surveyed respond that providing an opportunity for dancemakers to have “unfettered creative exploration and expression” is an important aspect of their program’s mission. For example, Christopher Morgan, director of Dance OMI at the OMI International Arts Center in Ghent, New York, describes the no-strings-attached support his residency program offers dancemakers in the early stages of developing new work: “OMI aims to encourage and support daring, new explorations. It is a safe place where artists feel they can experiment. Though the program culminates in informal showings on the OMI campus and in New York City, the emphasis is not a performance product but a gently facilitated process of experimentation and collaboration.”

ANALYSIS

The Hayloft at Meeting in Zdonov. Photo by Meeting in Zdonov

While nearly half of all dance residencies do not have dance facilities, dancemakers will find no shortage of general-purpose studios and/or outdoor space for their work, from rustic barns to urban courtyards. The development of facilities that are better equipped for dance is critical, though anecdotal evidence suggests that not only are dancemakers remarkably resilient in adapting to available space (there’s that “make do” ethos again), some dancemakers actually prefer to step out of traditional dance studios. Dancemakers surveyed are interested in working in “interesting spaces with history that may inform the nature of the work,” while others would like “a space where I can be messy and screw things into the floor and not worry about messing it up.” Many state that they simply require a “performable, creative” space. Dancemakers express their willingness to be “flexible in making work according to the space that’s available.” That being said, there is no substitute for quality space. As detailed in the Urban Institute study, “Investing in Creativity,” “Artists...are often told to ‘make do’ with what they have in the way of substitute equipment or materials. Many of our respondents expressed extreme concern about this sentiment, saying that the consequences for artistic quality can be great.”

“Artists...are often told to ‘make do’ with what they have in the way of substitute equipment or materials [but] the consequences for artistic quality can be great.”

Incubation Incarnacion at Wild Rose Farm Artist Retreat. Photo by Wild Rose Farm

6 Dance/USA, “Rough Waters Survey” (2009).

7 NYC Performing Arts Spaces, “We Make Do. More Time is Better, But Budget is King” (2010).

Perception

Only 46% of dancemakers surveyed have participated in a residency program. For the others, there are many perception (or misperception) barriers that keep dancemakers from even applying to residencies.

Some common misperceptions include:

- A specific outcome (product, performance, etc.) is expected at the end of a residency
- Residencies do not offer the flexibility to accommodate artists working across disciplines
- Residencies only support visual artists or writers
- Most residencies charge artists to attend
- Residencies only accommodate a single artist working alone
- Residencies are solitary and not engaged in the community

Accurate and accessible information is critical so that dancemakers can take advantage of the many opportunities available to them, and residency programs can improve their communications to address these common misperceptions. The Alliance, too, can address these barriers by providing more information tailored to the needs of dancemakers.

Cost of Participating

While all artistic disciplines are under-resourced, the dance community has been hit especially hard by the recent recession. According to Dance/USA’s 2009 “Rough Waters Survey,” 84% of dancemakers and dance presenting organizations have suffered a significant decrease in foundation support and 47% report that individual donations have significantly fallen short of expectations in recent years. For an already under-resourced field, this is particularly discouraging.

We cannot overstate the financial challenges faced by dancemakers. Taking a week, a month, or a year to focus on the development of new work is a costly endeavor for any artist. Few dancemakers who are given the opportunity to present their work are also provided with resources and an ample amount of time to develop that work with all the key players involved in production. Dancemakers in particular require a great deal of resources to bring new work to fruition: wages to dancers during all stages of development; payment to set, lighting, and video designers; directors, composers, and musicians; space rental; marketing; costumes; and more. Sixty-one percent of all artist residencies offer their programs at no cost to all participants and more than 25% offer stipends to artists-in-residence, though very few have sufficient funds to support the level of resources needed by dancemakers, particularly in the later stages of their development process. And while a single choreographer working in solitude might find a free residency or a modest stipend adequate, most dancemakers must take on significant personal expense paying fees to dancers and other collaborators or forgo residency opportunities altogether.

Research and Reflect

Dancemakers express an interest in the opportunity to experiment, make mistakes, revise ideas, and remain purely focused on the creative process without the pressure that accompanies commissioned works. Correspondingly, 94% of artist residencies surveyed respond that providing an opportunity for dancemakers to have “unfettered creative exploration and expression” is an important aspect of their program’s mission. For example, Christopher Morgan, director of Dance OMI at the OMI International Arts Center in Ghent, New York, describes the no-strings-attached support his residency program offers dancemakers in the early stages of developing new work: “OMI aims to encourage and support daring, new explorations. It is a safe place where artists feel they can experiment. Though the program culminates in informal showings on the OMI campus and in New York City, the emphasis is not a performance product but a gently facilitated process of experimentation and collaboration.”

In an effort to differentiate among the variety of dance residencies, we have divided residency programs into the stages of dance development each supports: early-, mid-, and late. Similarly, NYC Performing Arts Spaces in its “We Make Do” report outlines four corresponding stages and proposes that residencies “serve the key junctures in the creative process: time for research and reflection, initial explorations with dancers, choreography and rehearsals, and extending to a more technologically-outfitted, pre-production/design development period.” Naturally, these are loose definitions of a creative process that exists along a continuum, and residencies may offer support to artists during one or more stages.

**EARLY-STAGE DANCE RESIDENCIES**

A residency program that supports the exploration of ideas, research, and/or the initial planning of new work in dance

The majority of residency programs that offer support for dance fall into this early-stage category (60%), and 82% of dancemakers surveyed are interested in participating in an early-stage dance residency. These residencies often have little in terms of dance-specific resources (dance studios, theater space, and stipends for dancers and other collaborators, for example), but provide ample time for research and reflection, general-purpose space for working and performing, and a supportive environment in which to create and exchange ideas with other artists. Residency programs are deeply committed to supporting artists working in the early stages of developing new work, though these opportunities are particularly unknown and underutilized by dancemakers.

**ANALYSIS**

**Studio Space**

It is not surprising that 80% of dancemakers surveyed respond that it is important for early-stage dance residencies to offer some form of dance-specific studio space (e.g., dance floors). Of those residency programs that offer support for dance, however, only 49% have dance studios or floorings. This translates into just 24% of the entire residency field that has facilities equipped for dance.

**Mind the Gap: Artist Residencies and Dance**

While nearly half of all dance residencies do not have dance facilities, dancemakers will find no shortage of general-purpose studios and/or outdoor space for their work, from rustic barns to urban courtyards. The development of facilities that are better equipped for dance is critical, though anecdotal evidence suggests that not only are dancemakers remarkably resilient in adapting to available space (there’s that “make do” ethos again), some dancemakers actually prefer to step out of traditional dance studios. Dancemakers surveyed are interested in working in “interesting spaces with history that may inform the nature of the work,” while others would like “a space where I can be messy and screw things into the floor and not worry about messing it up.” Many state that they simply require a “performable, creative” space. Dancemakers express their willingness to be “flexible in making work according to the space that’s available.” That being said, there is no substitute for quality space. As detailed in the Urban Institute study, “Investing in Creativity,” “Artists...are often told to ‘make do’ with what they have in the way of substitute equipment or materials [but] the consequences for artistic quality can be great.”

**Incubation Incarnation at Wild Rose Farm Artist Retreat. Photo by Wild Rose Farm**

“Artists...are often told to ‘make do’ with what they have in the way of substitute equipment or materials [but] the consequences for artistic quality can be great.”
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Artist residencies without dance-specific facilities still have much to offer dancemakers, and some are already building partnerships with other local organizations – dance schools, universities, community centers, and recreation facilities, for example – to provide access to dance studio space. As Crystal Bell of Red Gate Residency in Beijing states, “Red Gate aims to support dancemakers’ creative process with whatever tools we have” and residency programs willing to open their doors to dancemakers should not shy away from offering whatever is available.

Inter-Disciplinary Collaboration

Of dancemakers surveyed, only 42% state that the opportunity to “collaborate with non-dance artists-in-residence” is an important part of an early-stage residency. And while 86% of residencies say that providing the opportunity to collaborate with artists in other disciplines is an important part of their mission, for most organizations this is a natural by-product of any residency rather than a formal expectation.

“Artist communities can be everything from writer- to [visual] artist-based, but it is often uncertain how choreography harmonizes with those disciplines,” writes Ted Bale. While it is not uncommon for artists-in-residence to develop unplanned collaborations with other residents, most of this happens on an individual basis rather than as a specific tenet of the organization. Residencies are fertile ground for collaboration and exchange among artists, though performance-based artists may not seek out this aspect as much as other artists given that their work is almost always collaborative already. Early-stage residencies may indeed offer dancemakers a rare opportunity for solitude.

Sharing Work with the Public

Of those dancemakers who indicate an interest in participating in an early-stage dance residency, 51% respond that the opportunity to share work with the public is one of the most appealing aspects of an early-stage dance residency. On the other hand, most early-stage residencies aim to provide artists with an opportunity to explore the creative process without expectations of production or public presentation. Some residency programs actively discourage artists-in-residence from seeking performance opportunities or focusing on production while in-residence. Workspace for Choreographers, a retreat-style residency in Sprewville, Virginia, for example, states that only dancemakers who “are free of immediate production deadlines are eligible to apply.”

It is worth noting that artists of other disciplines (visual artists, writers, etc.) are generally less interested in sharing their work-in-progress with the public during a residency. “Given the nature of dance as a predominantly live-audience medium, this difference is not surprising, though it presents challenges to residency programs that do not normally program public showings. While early-stage residencies may be wary of encouraging a more product-than-process centered residency, organizations and artists alike can distinguish between formal performances and less formal conversations with the public, demonstrations of works-in-progress, and opportunities for critical feedback even in a work’s earliest stages. Such engagements can be of enormous benefit to both artists and audiences, particularly in encouraging greater public understanding of the ambiguous artist works undertake and the value artists and their creative process brings to our view of the world.”

Tere O’Connor, artist-in-residence at MANCC, echoes this idea: “I was offered a public forum during the incubatory stages of creation, where I was able to talk about my process to an audience. It is so incredible to talk in a moment where no one is expecting exactitude or the performance of ‘mastery.’ Instead they are observing you at an initial moment. They see you in a role that may be at odds with romantic ideas about artists. I feel that the dismantling of these points of view through this type of activity could be the basis for a whole new kind of audience development that isn’t based on finances but on the currency of ideas. This kind of activity reveals the circularity of the artistic process by situating the audience as an ongoing participant in ideas, not just a consumer of final products.”

Mid-stage Dance Residencies

A residency program that supports the further development of new work, collective creation, and/or the presentation of works-in-progress in dance

More than 90% of dancemakers surveyed express an interest in participating in a mid-stage dance residency, while only an estimated 25% of residency programs that offer support for dance provide residencies geared toward the mid-stage development of new work. Mid-stage residencies are difficult to define, as they exist somewhere along the continuum between those residencies that are best suited to single choreographers working on research or the initial development of ideas and those that offer full production resources.

Facilities and funding are often key to making the distinctions between these stages. Residency programs that wish to support mid-stage development of work might offer studio space with a dance floor, the opportunity to experiment with sound and lighting, open studios or other public works-in-progress presentations, and resources for collaborative groups (from identifying local dancers with which a choreographer can work to offering financial support for dancemaking collectives to be in-residence).

In addition to similar concerns and challenges in early-stage development, dancemakers highlighted audience space and documentation as two important resources during mid-stage development.

Space for Audiences

Of those dancemakers interested in participating in a mid-stage residency, 64% consider important the opportunity to work in a theater or in a studio that can accommodate an audience. On-site facilities are limited, however, with only 39% of residencies offering theater space and 52% with studio space that can accommodate an audience.

Dancemakers in the mid-stage of developing new work value the opportunity to share their works-in-progress with an audience, but do not necessarily require the technical and creative resources that a dedicated production space provides. And dance residencies that do not have performance spaces can still support dancemakers’ interest in connecting with the public by partnering with local facilities. For example, The Hambidge Center for Creative Arts & Sciences in Rabun Gap, Georgia, has “arranged performance space in a nearby prep school,” and the Santa Fe Art Institute – located on the campus of the College of Santa Fe – has “access to the college’s rehearsal and performance spaces.” Frequently, however, residency programs do not publicize partnerships with local facilities, and organizations often look elsewhere for space only when the need arises and/or at the request of a dancemaker. Therefore, it is important for residency programs to communicate the full range of support they are willing to make available so that dancemakers can better make use of residencies at this stage; likewise, dancemakers should ask a residency program about the availability of nearby space before passing up an opportunity based on what facilities are on-site.

Documentation

Seventy-four percent of dancemakers respond that having access to video equipment to document their work is an important resource for mid-stage dance residencies to offer (in fact, dancemakers working in all stages of development indicate a strong interest in having access to video equipment to document their creative process). The number of residency programs who offer video equipment for artists-in-residence to use is on the rise, and this is a valuable – and relatively affordable – resource for those programs interested in supporting dance and other time-based media to develop. Many dancemakers are interested in video equipment not just to document and review their work while in-residence but to incorporate video into their productions as well. Nicole Canuso, for example, was recently in-residence at MANCC, and access to MANCC’s technical equipment was critical in the development of her new work, “Within: Four large walls of a scrim tent that served as a surface for video projections of the dancers. Nicole Canuso and her collaborators showed excerpts from TAKES. While the dance had its origins in prior residencies at other venues, her residency at MANCC in partnership with the Philadelphia Live Arts + Fringe Festival marks the first time that she and her collaborators had actually assembled the scrim tent with projections and worked within the performance environment for any extended time.”

It is increasingly important for dancemakers to have access to video in mid- and late-stage residencies. 9


10 Alliance of Artists Communities. Engaging Communities: Lessons Learned (2004).
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Documentation

Seventy-four percent of dancemakers respond that having access to video equipment to document their work is an important resource for mid-stage dance residencies to offer (in fact, dancemakers working in all stages of development indicate a strong interest in having access to video equipment to document their creative process). The number of residency programs who offer video equipment for artists-in-residence to use is on the rise, and this is a valuable – and relatively affordable – resource for those programs interested in supporting dance and other time-based media to develop. Many dancemakers are interested in video equipment not just to document and review their work while in-residence but to incorporate video into their productions as well. Nicole Canuso, for example, was recently in-residence at MANCC, and access to MANCC’s technical equipment was critical in the development of her new work, “Within: Four large walls of a scrim tent that served as a surface for video projections of the dancers. Nicole Canuso and her collaborators showed excerpts from TAKES. While the dance had its origins in prior residencies at other venues, her residency at MANCC in partnership with the Philadelphia Live Arts + Fringe Festival marks the first time that she and her collaborators had actually assembled the scrim tent with projections and worked within the performance environment for any extended time.”

It is increasingly important for dancemakers to have access to video in mid- and late-stage residencies. 9

Artist residencies without dance-specific facilities still have much to offer dancemakers, and some are already building partnerships with other local organizations—dance schools, universities, community centers, and recreation facilities, for example—to provide access to dance studio space. As Crystal Bell of Red Gate Residency in Beijing states, “Red Gate aims to support dancemakers’ creative process with whatever tools we have” and residency programs willing to open their doors to dancemakers should not shy away from offering whatever is available.

Inter-Disciplinary Collaboration
Of dancemakers surveyed, only 42% state that the opportunity to “collaborate with non-dance artists-in-residence” is an important part of an early-stage residency. And while 86% of residencies say that providing the opportunity to collaborate with artists in other disciplines is an important part of their mission, for most organizations this is a natural by-product of any residency rather than a formal expectation.

“Artist communities can be everything from writer- to (visual) artist-based, but it is often uncertain how choreography harmonizes with those disciplines,” writes Ted Bale. While it is not uncommon for artists-in-residence to develop unplanned collaborations with other residents, most of this happens on an individual basis rather than as a specific tenet of the organization. Residencies are fertile ground for collaboration and exchange among artists, though performance-based artists may not seek out this aspect as much as other artists given that their work is almost always collaborative already. Early-stage residencies may indeed offer dancemakers a rare opportunity for solitude.

Sharing Work with the Public
Of those dancemakers who indicate an interest in participating in an early-stage dance residency, 51% respond that the opportunity to share their work with the public is one of the most appealing aspects of an early-stage dance residency. On the other hand, most early-stage residency programs aim to provide artists with an opportunity to explore the creative process without expectations of production or public presentation. Some residency programs actively discourage artists-in-residence from seeking performance opportunities or focusing on production while in-residence. Workspace for Choreographers, a retreat-style residency in Spenerville, Virginia, for example, states that only dancemakers who “are free of immediate production deadlines are eligible to apply.”

It is worth noting that artists of other disciplines (visual artists, writers, etc.) are generally less interested in sharing their work-in-progress with the public during a residency. Given the nature of dance as a predominantly live-audience medium, this difference is not surprising, though it presents challenges to residency programs that do not normally program public showings. While early-stage residencies may be wary of encouraging a more product- than process-centered residency, organizations and artists alike can distinguish between formal performances and less formal conversations with the public, demonstrations of works-in-progress, and opportunities for critical feedback even in a work’s earliest stages. Such engagements can be of enormous benefit to both artists and audiences, particularly in encouraging greater public understanding of the ambitious work artists undertake and the value artists and their creative process brings to our view of the world.

Tere O’Connor, artist-in-residence at MANCC, echoes this idea: “I was offered a public forum during the incubatory stages of creation, where I was able to talk about my process to an audience. It is so incredible to talk in a moment where no one is expecting exactitude or the performance of ‘mastery.’ Instead they are observing you at an initial moment. They see you in a role that may be at odds with romantic ideas about artists. I feel that the dismantling of these points of view through this type of activity could be the basis for a whole new kind of audience development that isn’t based on finances but on the currency of ideas. This kind of activity reveals the circularity of the artistic process by situating the audience as an ongoing participant in ideas, not just a consumer of final products.”

Mid-Stage Dance Residencies
A residency program that supports the further development of new work, collective creation, and/or the presentation of works-in-progress in dance

More than 90% of dancemakers surveyed express an interest in participating in a mid-stage dance residency, while only an estimated 25% of residency programs that offer support for dance provide residencies geared toward the mid-stage development of new work. Mid-stage residencies are difficult to define, as they exist somewhere along the continuum between those residencies that are best suited to single choreographers working on research or the initial development of ideas and those that offer full production resources.

Facilities and funding are often key to making the distinctions between these stages. Residency programs that wish to support mid-stage development of work might offer studio space with a dance floor, the opportunity to experiment with sound and lighting, open studios or other public works-in-progress presentations, and resources for collaborative groups (from identifying local dancers with which a choreographer can work to offering financial support for dance-making collectives to be in residence together).

In addition to similar concerns and challenges in early-stage development, dancemakers highlighted audience space and documentation as two important resources during mid-stage development.

Space for Audiences
Of those dancemakers interested in participating in a mid-stage residency, 64% consider important the opportunity to work in a theater or in a studio that can accommodate an audience. On-site facilities are limited, however, with only 39% of dancemakers offering theater space and 51% with studio space that can accommodate an audience.

Dancemakers in the mid-stage of developing new work value the opportunity to share their works-in-progress with an audience, but do not necessarily require the technical and creative resources that a dedicated production space provides. And dance residencies that do not have performance spaces can still support dancemakers’ interest in connecting with the public by partnering with local facilities. For example, the Hambidge Center for Creative Arts & Sciences in Rabun Gap, Georgia, has “arranged performance space in a nearby prep school,” and the Santa Fe Art Institute—located on the campus of the College of Santa Fe—has “access to the college’s rehearsal and performance spaces.” Frequently, however, residency programs do not publicize partnerships with local facilities, and organizations often look elsewhere for space only when the need arises and/or at the request of a dancemaker. Therefore, it is important for residency programs to communicate the full range of support they are willing to make available so that dancemakers can better make use of residencies at this stage; likewise, dancemakers should ask a residency program about the availability of nearby space before passing up an opportunity based on what facilities are on-site.

Documentation
Seventy-four percent of dancemakers respond that having access to video equipment to document their work is an important resource for mid-stage residencies to offer (in fact, dancemakers working in all stages of development indicate a strong interest in having access to video equipment to document their creative process). The number of residency programs who offer video equipment for artists-in-residence to use is on the rise, and this is a valuable—and relatively affordable—resource for those programs interested in supporting dance and other time-based media to develop. Many dancemakers are interested in video equipment not just to document and review their work while in-residence but to incorporate video into their productions as well. Nicole Canuso, for example, was recently in residence at MANCC, and access to MANCC’s technical equipment was critical in the development of her new work: “Within four large walls of a scrim tent that served as a surface for video projections of the dancers, Nicole Canuso and her collaborators showed excerpts from TAKES. While the dance had its origins in prior residencies at other venues, her residency at MANCC in partnership with the Philadelphia Live Arts + Fringe Festival marks the first time that she and her collaborators had actually assembled the scrim tent with projections and worked within the performance environment for any extended period.”

LATE-TAGE DANCE RESIDENCIES

A residency program that supports group development, production, and/or public presentation of new work in dance

There is especially strong interest among dancemakers in participating in a residency that allows them to focus on pre-determined work and production—88% of survey respondents. As one dancemaker writes, “It is helpful to be in residence at the site where the performance will happen, or in a ‘lab’ type space where one can workshop and move it to the presenting venue.”

Of residency programs in North America that support dance, an estimated 66% offer residencies geared toward late-stage development of new work and provide fully-equipped theater space for production and performance. Almost all of these primarily or exclusively support the performing arts, and many are housed within colleges and universities—including Bates Dance Festival at Bates College, MANCC at Florida State University, and Time and Space for Dance at Swarthmore College; or within presenting organizations—including Boston Center for the Arts, New York Live Arts(formerly Dance Theater Workshop), Links Hall, MASS MoCA, Packard House for the Arts, and Tribeca Performing Arts Center. Identifying university-based dance residency programs has proven particularly challenging, as many exist under the radar and opportunities are not well publicized. We will continue to research such programs, as university-based residencies—that typically have access to the school’s production, facility, audience, marketing, and financial resources—can offer a critical antidote to the scarcity of late-stage development opportunities in dance.

Production Resources

Of dancemakers interested in late-stage residencies, 93% respond that access to dedicated theater or performance space is important, and 93% state that access to video, sound, and lighting equipment is also significant. Dancemakers working in the late stages of development require access to the space and technical resources necessary to stage and rehearse a final piece. The financial support, production facilities, and equipment offered by MANCC, for example, not only allow dancemakers to explore new directions in their work, but also offer the opportunity to develop the full production elements of their work over time, “demonstrating the need for more residencies in the US geared to production and offering an environment that is as close as possible to the desired performance space.” 11 With so few residency programs offering these resources on-site, organizations can go a long way in supporting dancemakers by developing partnerships with local organizations. Vermont Performance Lab, for example, “partners with a recording studio to offer space for rehearsals and music production for many of our residencies and we partner regularly with organizations in the community to leverage additional resources for artist projects.” Joan Rabinowitz of Jack Straw Productions Artist Support Program, an interdisciplinary residency program in Seattle, states, “We have always enjoyed working with dancers. We partner with other organizations for additional space, especially larger performance venues, and would be delighted to increase our opportunities [for dancemakers], possibly through more partnerships.”

There are other needs during late-stage development that residency programs can provide beyond production facilities and equipment. For example, 62% of dancemakers are interested in assistance with the administrative and planning challenges that come with the final stages of artistic presentation (e.g., budgeting, technical needs, timeline, marketing, etc.).

It’s Showtime, Folks

Almost all dancemakers (95%) are interested in sharing their work with the public during a late-stage residency, as either works-in-progress or completed pieces. And while many residency programs state that they support artists-in-residence in sharing their work with the public, few have the facilities, equipment, financial support, and other resources to do so to the satisfaction of dancemakers. To fully support late-stage development, “more time is better, but budget is king,” as NYC Performing Arts Spaces reports. Dancemakers need the financial support to work with dancers and others involved in the work’s creation and to support all the material aspects of a production (sets, costumes, etc.). Finding partners that can provide space, marketing, and other support may create more administrative challenges for residency programs, but such arrangements can be transformative for dancemakers, partnering organizations, and audiences who are able to experience work never before seen by the public.

Supporting late-stage development in dance is essential in the full incubation of new work. And yet so few dancemakers are afforded this opportunity to allow their work to unfold fully, to continue experimenting and taking risks even toward the end of a work’s development, to flesh out production ideas on stage, and to receive audience feedback before the high stakes of presenting and touring.

RESIDENCY SPOTLIGHTS

CALDERA

EARLY- AND MID-STAGE

Caldera is nestled on the shore of a cinder lake formed in the cinder cone of an extinct volcano in the Central Cascades region of Oregon. The site is located at an altitude of 5,000 feet and set amid 50 acres of Douglas fir and ponderosa pine surrounded by the Deschutes National Forest, 17 miles west of Sisters, Oregon—all of which is transformed each winter into a snowy wonder.

Caldera's vision is one of inclusivity and exchange: “Creativity is not discipline-specific but rather is an innate quality of all individuals, transcending age, gender, race, and culture. We believe a blend of disciplines will enhance the experience of the residencies at Caldera, creating a broader arena of ideas in which participants can share their work.”

11 Dance/USA, “Rough Waters Survey II” (2010).

CALDERA AT A GLANCE

Location: Sisters, Oregon
Residency length: 1 month
Average number of artists in residence at a time: 1 - 5
Residency season: January - March
Approximate % of residents that are dancemakers: 5
Disciplines supported: visual arts, writing, dance, music, performance art, media art, design, architecture, science, engineering, and interdisciplinary arts.
Facilities: Caldera offers general-purpose studio space that may accommodate an audience and the organization has access to a portable Marley floor. In addition, exhibition and outdoor space may be used.

Public access: On a Saturday afternoon near the end of each residency, Caldera artists-in-residence are asked to showcase their works-in-progress in an Open Studio event that is free and open to the public (participation is encouraged, though not mandatory). Artists-in-residence who wish to do so are also invited to show their work in an outreach presentation or workshop to a public school class in the area.

Collaboration between Fist & Heel (Reggie Wilson, Rhettta Alkeng, Paul Hamilton, and Arianna Swenson) and Cie 1er Temps (Fatou Cisse, Andra Ouma, and Marcel Gbile) at Caldera. Photo by Caldera.

From Tricia Snell, Executive Director

“We’re about process. We’re about getting your work created. We’re not about performance. We love work-in-progress demonstrations to help the community understand what we’re doing, but we don’t want you to come here and do performances... If that’s just about talking to dancers, asking what they need, bringing them into your space and saying, ‘What do you think?’ And then just trying it! Do you need a Marley or don’t you? Not everyone needs a Marley floor. The Oregon Ballet Theatre has been very generous in lending theirs, so probably somewhere in your community somebody will lend a Marley and you don’t even need to buy it.

“We can be a cauldron for you. Caldera literally means cauldron. We’re not going to compete with you. This is not the career world of dance. This is not a time pressure place. We can just welcome you and be here for you and support you in what you want to do.”
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We’re not going to compete with you. This is not the career world of dance. This is not a time pressure place. We can just welcome you and be here for you and support you in what you want to do.”

“...This kind of activity reveals the circularity of the artistic process by situating the audience as an ongoing participant in ideas, not just a consumer of final products.”

We’re not going to compete with you. This is not the career world of dance. This is not a time pressure place. We can just welcome you and be here for you and support you in what you want to do.”

12 Dance/USA, “Rough Waters Survey II” (2010).
**LATE-STAGE DANCE RESIDENCIES**

A residency program that supports group development, production, and/or public presentation of new work in dance

There is especially strong interest among dancemakers in participating in a residency that allows them to focus on pre-determined work and production—88% of survey respondents. As one dancemaker writes, “It is helpful to be in residence at the site where the performance will happen, or in a ‘lab’ type space where one can workshop work and move it to the presenting venue.”

Of residency programs in North America that support dance, an estimated 96% offer residencies geared toward late-stage development of new work and provide fully-equipped theater space for production and performance. Almost all of these primarily or exclusively support the performing arts, and many are housed within colleges and universities—including Bates Dance Festival at Bates College, MANCC at Florida State University, and Time and Space for Dance at Swarthmore College; or within presenting organizations—including Boston Center for the Arts, New York Live Arts (formerly Dance Theater Workshop), Links Hall, MASS MoCA, Packard House Center for the Arts, and Tribeca Performing Arts Center. Identifying university-based dance residency programs has proven particularly challenging, as many exist under the radar and opportunities are not well publicized.

We will continue to research such programs, as university-based residencies—that typically have access to the school’s production, faculty, audience, marketing, and financial resources—can offer a critical antidote to the scarcity of late-stage development opportunities in dance.

**Production Resources**

Of dancemakers interested in late-stage residencies, 95% respond that access to dedicated theater or performance space is important, and 94% state that access to video, sound, and lighting equipment is also significant. Dancemakers working in the late stages of development require access to the space and technical resources necessary to stage and rehearse a final piece. The financial support, production facilities, and equipment offered by MANCC, for example, not only allow dancemakers to explore new directions in their work but also offer the opportunity to develop the full production elements of their work over time, “demonstrating the need for more residencies in the US geared to production and offering an environment that is as close as possible to the desired performance space.”

With so few residency programs offering these resources on-site, organizations can go a long way in supporting dancemakers by developing partnerships with local organizations. Vermont Performance Lab, for example, “partners with a recording studio to offer space for rehearsals and music production for many of our residencies and we partner regularly with organizations in the community to leverage additional resources for artist projects.” Joan Rabonowitz of Jack Straw Productions Artist Support Program, an interdisciplinary residency program in Seattle, states, “We have always enjoyed working with dancers. We partner with other organizations for additional space, especially larger performance venues, and would be delighted to increase our opportunities for dancemakers, possibly through more partnerships.”

There are other needs during late-stage development that residency programs can provide beyond production facilities and equipment. For example, 62% of dancemakers are interested in assistance with the administrative and planning challenges that come with the final stages of artistic presentation (e.g., budgeting, technical needs, timeline, marketing, etc.).

**It’s Showtime, Folks**

Almost all dancemakers (95%) are interested in sharing their work with the public during a late-stage residency, as either works-in-progress or completed pieces. And while many residency programs state that they support artists-in-residence in sharing their work with the public, few have the facilities, equipment, financial support, and other resources to do so to the satisfaction of dancemakers. To fully support late-stage development, “more time is better, but budget is king,” as NYC Performing Arts Spaces reports. Dancemakers need the financial support to work with dancers and others involved in the work’s creation and to support all the material aspects of a production (sets, costumes, etc.). Finding partners that can provide space, marketing, and other support may create more administrative challenges for residency programs, but such arrangements can be transformative for dancemakers, partnering organizations, and audiences who are able to experience work never before seen by the public.

Supporting late-stage development in dance is essential in the final incubation of new work. And yet so few dancemakers are afforded this opportunity to allow their work to unfold fully, to continue experimenting and taking risks even toward the end of a work’s development, to flesh out production ideas on stage, and to receive audience feedback before the high stakes of presenting and touring.

“*It is so incredible to talk in a moment where no one is expecting exactitude or the performance of ‘mastery.’ ... This kind of activity reveals the circularity of the artistic process by situating the audience as an ongoing participant in ideas, not just a consumer of final products.*”

---

**CALDERA EARLY- AND MID-STAGE**

Caldera is nestled on the shore of a cinder cone lake formed in the cinder cone of an extinct volcano in the Central Cascades. The site is located at an altitude of 3,500 feet and amid 50 acres of Douglas fir and ponderosa pine surrounded by the Deschutes National Forest, 17 miles west of Sisters, Oregon— all of which is transformed each winter into a snowy wonder.

Caldera’s vision is one of inclusivity and exchange. “Creativity is not discipline-specific but rather is an innate quality of all individuals, transcending age, gender, race, and culture. We believe a blend of disciplines will enhance the experience of the residencies at Caldera, creating a broader arena of ideas in which participants can share their work.”

RESIDENCY SPOTLIGHTS

**CALDERA AT A GLANCE**

Location: Sisters, Oregon

Residency length: 1 month

Average number of artists in-residence at a time: 1 – 5

Residency season: January - March

Approximate % of residents that are dancemakers: 5%

Disciplines supported: visual arts, writing, dance, music, performance art, media art, design, architecture, science, engineering, and interdisciplinary arts

Facilities: Caldera offers general-purpose studio space that may accommodate an audience and the organization has access to a portable Marley floor. In addition, exhibition and outdoor space may be used.

Public access: On a Saturday afternoon near the end of each residency, Caldera artists-in-residence are asked to showcase their works-in-progress in an Open Studio event that is free and open to the public (participation is encouraged, though not mandatory). Artists-in-residence who wish to do so are also invited to show their work in an outreach presentation or workshop to a public school class in the area.

www.calderaarts.org

---

*We’re not going to compete with you. This is not the career world of dance. This is not a time pressure place. We can just welcome you and be here for you and support you in what you want to do.*

---

12 Dance USA, “Rough Waters Survey I” (2016).
THE BANFF CENTRE | THEATRE ARTS RESIDENCIES

In The Banff Centre’s powerful mountain setting in the heart of Banff National Park, exceptional artists and leaders from around the world create and perform new works of art, share skills and knowledge in an interdisciplinary environment, and explore ideas and develop solutions in the arts and leadership. The Banff Centre is a non-degree-granting, post-secondary institution for the continued education and training of emerging and established artists, and Arts programs at the core of The Centre.

The Theatre Arts Department invites project proposals from artists, artistic collectives, and companies to develop new work in the Theatre Arts department's facilities and in the Leighton Artist Colony studios. And The Banff Centre is committed to the commissioning, development, and production of new works in all major performance disciplines, not just Theatre Arts.

From Roxanne Miller, Program Manager, Theatre Arts

"The Banff Centre has been a place for artists for over 75 years and a leader in the creation and encouragement of dance for almost 65 years. The experience of attending a residency or participating in a program at The Banff Centre has often been referred to by the participant as ‘transformative.’ The very site The Banff Centre occupies on the lower slopes of Tunnel Mountain is considered by many of our indigenous persons to be a power place, a place of ritual. There is something about this magical setting that becomes a crucible for creation.”

"The Banff Centre is a village on a mountain in which the arts are the most important thing in the world. Theatre artists can meet and mingle with other artists from many different disciplines, including musicians, visual artists, writers, and film and media artists. Many unexpected collaborations are begun over a plate of scrambled eggs in the dining room. The opportunities for cross-disciplinary collaboration between artists is limited only by their imaginations."

"Theatre Arts Residencies can take many forms. Creation residencies allow the artists to work on a new piece of dance or theatre in the privacy of the studio or rehearsal hall. Or, if a company is preparing for the final phase of a work prior to opening, a production residency in one of the theatres can offer them the most precious resources of time, the significant technical resources of the theatre, and the skilled production expertise of our crew and work-study participants. From world-class productions preparing to tour to emerging artists about to premiere a new work, the residency program provides an unparalleled opportunity to the artists for the creation of their work."

MAGGIE ALLESEE NATIONAL CENTER FOR CHOREOGRAPHY (MANCC)

MANCC at a glance

Location: Tallahassee, Florida
Residency length: 2 - 4 weeks
Approximate % of residents that are dancemakers: 100%
Disciplines supported: dance, visual arts, writers, and film and media artists. Many unexpected collaborations are begun over a plate of scrambled eggs in the dining room. The opportunities for cross-disciplinary collaboration between artists is limited only by their imaginations.

"MANCC also offers artists-in-residence a media toolkit as they exit the residency to help with documentation and to contextualize and promote themselves, their process and – when appropriate – the work that ultimately develops from their time with us. These materials are developed with the artist and in some cases with consultation from presenting institutions, agents, managers, or other relevant distribution outlets."

We value research and development at all phases of work, but artists tend to come to us towards the later stages of the development based on the resources we are able to provide.“

MANCC at a glance

Location: Tallahassee, Florida
Residency length: 2 - 4 weeks
Approximate % of residents that are dancemakers: 100%
Disciplines supported: dance
Facilities: MANCC shares facilities with the School of Dance at The Florida State University. Considered one of the premier facilities for dance in the US, resident artists have access to 7 dance studios, a fully-equipped 380-seat proscenium theater, black box studio, audio lab, computer media lab, costume shop, dressing rooms, conditioning studio, and conference rooms.

“The arts have the ability to transform our ideas and to change the way we see the world. At MANCC we are committed to the commissioning, development, and production of new works in all major performance disciplines, not just choreography. We value the role of the arts in our society and the importance of the arts in our lives.”

From Jennifer Calienes, Executive Director

"When working with artists, we ask a very specific list of questions which in many cases challenge artists to think about their research in ways they haven’t yet had time to. As a national center, we must make selective choices about who we work with. For our emerging artists, they must have at least the beginnings of a national profile to be considered for our program, and they must be at a point in their career that they can take full advantage of the resources we provide. We encourage artists to maximize this opportunity and work with collaborators they may not get significant time with elsewhere. Artists who can present a clear vision for their work and working process, articulate strategies for relevant inquiry to advance their research (whether through conceptual, experiential, scientific, or physical means), and offer opportunities for shared inquiry are a good fit for our program. We value experimentation."

"We value research and development at all phases of work, but artists tend to come to us towards the later stages of the development based on the resources we are able to provide. In addition to a black box environment and fully equipped dance studio, there are additional resources available because we live within a research university that places a high value on the arts in general and dance in particular. We have access to specialized technical equipment and support as well as a community of scientists, students, and faculty that are all in this place of inquiry. That’s been part of our success."

"MANCC also offers artists-in-residence a media toolkit as they exit the residency to help with documentation and to contextualize and promote themselves, their process and – when appropriate – the work that ultimately develops from their time with us. These materials are developed with the artist and in some cases with consultation from presenting institutions, agents, managers, or other relevant distribution outlets."

www.mancc.org

"Retrospective Project” by Elko & Koma, in-residence at MANCC (2009). Photo by MANCC

www.banffcentre.ca/theatre/residencies

Photo by MANCC

"There is something about this magical setting that becomes a crucible for creation."

Azure Barton & Artists perform “Busk II” after a month-long residency at The Banff Centre. Photo by Don Lee

"We value research and development at all phases of work, but artists tend to come to us towards the later stages of the development based on the resources we are able to provide."
**THE BANFF CENTRE | THEATRE ARTS RESIDENCIES**

**EARLY-, MID-, AND LATE-STAGE**

In The Banff Centre's powerful mountain setting in the heart of Banff National Park, exceptional artists and leaders from around the world create and perform new works of art, share skills and knowledge in an interdisciplinary environment, and explore ideas and develop solutions in the arts and leadership. The Banff Centre is a non-degree-granting, post-secondary institution for the continued education and training of emerging and established artists, and Arts programs are at the core of The Centre.

The Theatre Arts Department invites project proposals from artists, artistic collectives, and companies to develop new work in the Theatre Arts department's facilities and in the Leighton Artist Colony studios. And The Banff Centre is committed to the commissioning, development, and production of new works in all major performance disciplines, not just Theatre Arts.

From Roxanne Miller, Program Manager, Theatre Arts

"The Banff Centre has been a place for artists for over 75 years and a leader in the creation and encouragement of dance for almost 65 years. The experience of attending a residency or participating in a program at The Banff Centre has often been referred to by the participant as 'transformative.' The very site The Banff Centre occupies on the lower slopes of Tunnel Mountain is considered by many of our indigenous persons to be a power place, a place of ritual. There is something about this magical setting that becomes a crucible for creation."

"The Banff Centre is a village on a mountain on which the arts are the most important thing in the world. Theatre artists can meet and mingle with other artists from many different disciplines, including musicians, visual artists, writers, and film and media artists. Many unexpected collaborations are begun over a plate of scrambled eggs in the dining room. The opportunities for cross-disciplinary collaboration between artists is limited only by their imaginations."

"Theatre Arts Residencies can take many forms. Creation residencies allow the artists to work on a new piece of dance or theatre in the privacy of the studio or rehearsal hall. Or, if a company is preparing for the final phase of a work prior to opening, a production residency in one of the theatres can offer them the most precious resources of time, the significant technical resources of the theatre, and the skilled production expertise of our crew and work-study participants. From world-class productions preparing to tour to emerging artists about to premiere a new work, the residency program provides an unparalleled opportunity to the artists for the creation of their work."

**MAGGIE ALLEESEE NATIONAL CENTER FOR CHOREOGRAPHY (MANCC)**

The Maggie Allesee National Center for Choreography (MANCC) is a dance and choreographic research center affiliated with the School of Dance at The Florida State University. Choreographers and companies are brought into the center for two- to four-week research-based choreographic residencies to experiment, reflect, edit, and hone their research and choreographic process and ultimately develop new work. Artists are selected through a competitive nominating and panel review process, in partnership with other organizations and/or by invitation of the Director. Artists are free to maximize the use of the center's facilities and other resources, including technology, and are encouraged to take full advantage of MANCC's laboratory space in whatever ways are most useful to their own artistic development. This might involve the testing of new ideas on professional dancers; working with lighting designers, dramaturges, composers, other collaborators, and/or audiences in the creative process; or the development of dialogue about their work.

From Jennifer Calienes, Executive Director

"When working with artists, we ask a very specific list of questions which in many cases challenge artists to think about their research in ways they haven't yet had time to. As a national center, we must make selective choices about who we work with. For our emerging artists, they must have the opportunity to experiment and design a national profile to be considered for our program, and they must be at a point in their career that they can take full advantage of the resources we provide. We encourage artists to maximize this opportunity and work alonside our community of scientists, students, and faculty that are all in this place of inquiry. That's been part of our success."

"We value research and development at all phases of work, but artists tend to come to us towards the later stages of the development based on the resources we are able to provide."

**MANCC AT A GLANCE**

Location: Tallahassee, Florida

Residency length: 2 - 4 weeks

Average number of artists-in-residence at a time: 1 - 91

Residency season: year-round

Approximate % of residents that are dancemakers: 60%

Disciplines supported: dance

Facilities: MANCC shares facilities with the School of Dance at The Florida State University. Considered one of the premier facilities for dance in the US, resident artists have access to 7 dance studios, a fully-equipped 380-seat proscenium theater, black box studio, audio lab, computer media lab, costume shop, dressing rooms, conditioning studio, and conference rooms.

Public access: MANCC asks artists to provide multiple entry points for audiences. Artists are invited to share their choreographic process with the University (students, staff, and faculty) and/or the greater Tallahassee community. Rather than the usual lectures and master classes, artists are encouraged to form intimate interactions that are engaging rather than simply presentational.

www.mancc.org
**A MULTI-STAGE COLLABORATION**

In response to the need for more comprehensive support for dancemakers that spans several stages of the development of new work, a cohort of organizations is in the planning phase of a collaborative residency project. The project brings together three organizations in three different cities – The Chocolate Factory, Live Arts Brewery | Philadelphia Live Arts Festival, and Vermont Performance Lab – to create a robust model of support for artists and organizations. With a shared dedication to artistic process, each partner brings unique attributes and strengths to the collective, which can vastly deepen an artist’s residency experience, expose an artist to multiple markets, and sustain the creative process over an extended period of time.

The cohort of residency sites will provide artists with financial support, access to various creative spaces and technical support, housing, and project management to assist in the creation, dissemination, and promotion of their work. Artists will have opportunities to explore their work with audiences at critical stages of development and will have at least one formal presentation of their work following the residency period. Throughout the process, the partners will function as a learning community to reflect upon and enhance their practice and, over time, to build a sustainable model to share with the residency field.

"[We are] creating a structure where the line between development and presentation of work can productively blur."

**THE CHOCOLATE FACTORY AT A GLANCE**

Location: Long Island City, New York

Residency length: 10 – 12 months (in 4- to 6-week segments)

Average number of artists in-residence at a time: 1

Residency season: year-round

Approximate % of residents that are dancemakers: 75%

Disciplines supported: theater, dance, music, and multimedia arts

Facilities: The Chocolate Factory is a 5,000-square-foot two-story renovated commercial garage, with two performance spaces designed for flexible use.

Public access: The Chocolate Factory supports performances in a variety of settings including early works-in-progress, full engagements of 1 - 4 weeks, and festivals of new work. Resident artists’ work is given numerous public showings in various stages of development prior to its official opening. These showings provide artists with direct audience feedback on the progress of the piece, which is then incorporated as rehearsals and development continue.

www.chocolatefactorytheater.org

---

"Platypus" by Les Rivera, in-residence at Live Arts Brewery (2011). Photo by Robin Barnes

**LIVE ARTS BREWERY | PHILADELPHIA LIVE ARTS FESTIVAL**

A laboratory for research and development, the Live Arts Brewery (LAB) supports artistic research, experimentation, and exploration of contemporary theater, dance, and cross-genre live performance work through a variety of initiatives designed for local and visiting artists. The LAB Fellowship program provides up to eight local artists with one-year residencies and an integrated curriculum designed to actively engage the artists in experimentation and development. The LAB also provides production residencies, allowing an artist to explore the technical issues of a piece and show their work in front of invited audiences throughout the creative process.

From Craig Peterson, Director, Live Arts Brewery

"While networks and structures exist for the presentation of artists’ work, there is limited access for collaborative, organizational artist support in the creation of work."

**LIVE ARTS BREWERY AT A GLANCE**

Location: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Residency length: local artists, ongoing for 1+ year; visiting artists, 1 - 4 weeks at a time

Average number of artists in-residence at a time: local artists, up to 10; visiting artists, 1 - 2

Residency season: year-round

Approximate % of residents that are dancemakers: 50%

Disciplines supported: theater, dance, and multidisciplinary performance work

Facilities: The LAB provides artists with a state-of-the-art theater facility at the Live Arts Studio, a 2,400-square-foot space housed in a former brewery adjacent to the Live Arts Festival and Philly Fringe administrative offices. The theater is equipped with professional sound equipment and a lighting grid. An additional 4,000-square-foot space houses a studio dedicated to research and development activity.

Public access: The LAB provides opportunities for works-in-progress showings, informal performances, and full production of new work. The LAB is particularly invested in engaging audiences in the creative process and actively encourages artists to share work at various stages of development.

www.livearts-fringe.org

---

"Selective Memory" at The Chocolate Factory (2010). Photo by Paula Court

**THE CHOCOLATE FACTORY**

The Chocolate Factory values the process of creation and the spirit of experimentation. Artists invited to work at The Chocolate Factory receive dedicated rehearsal and performance residencies and are encouraged to put the space and technical resources to use in imaginative ways, with very few practical limitations.

From Brian Rogers, Artistic Director

"I am excited for the opportunity to work closely with a select group of partners to support the work of artists in a targeted, long-range, and flexible way – creating a structure where the line between development and presentation of work can productively blur."
A MULTI-STAGE COLLABORATION

In response to the need for more comprehensive support for dancemakers that spans several stages of the development of new work, a cohort of organizations is in the planning phase of a collaborative residency project. The project brings together three organizations in three different cities – The Chocolate Factory, Live Arts Brewery | Philadelphia Live Arts Festival, and Vermont Performance Lab – to create a robust model of support for artists and organizations. With a shared dedication to artistic process, each partner brings unique attributes and strengths to the collective, which can vastly deepen an artist's residency experience, expose an artist to multiple markets, and sustain the creative process over an extended period of time.

The cohort of residency sites will provide artists with financial support, access to various creative spaces and technical support, housing, and project management to assist in the creation, dissemination, and promotion of their work. Artists will have opportunities to explore their work with audiences at critical stages of development and will have at least one formal presentation of their work following the residency period. Throughout the process, the partners will function as a learning community to reflect upon and enhance their practice and, over time, to build a sustainable model to share with the residency field.

“We are [creating] a structure where the line between development and presentation of work can productively blur.”

THE CHOCOLATE FACTORY

At a Glance

Location: Long Island City, New York
Residency length: 10 - 12 months (in 4- to 6-week segments)
Average number of artists in-residence at a time: 1
Residency season: year-round
Approximate % of residents that are dancemakers: 75%
Disciplines supported: theater, dance, music, and multimedia arts
Facilities: The Chocolate Factory is a 5,000-square-foot two-story renovated commercial garage, with two performance spaces designed for flexible use.
Public access: The Chocolate Factory supports performances in a variety of settings including early works-in-progress, full engagements of 1 - 4 weeks, and festivals of new work. Resident artists' work is given numerous public showings in various stages of development prior to its official opening. These showings provide artists with direct audience feedback on the progress of the piece, which is then incorporated as rehearsals and development continue.

www.chocolatefactorytheater.org

From Brian Rogers, Artistic Director

“I am excited for the opportunity to work closely with a select group of partners to support the work of artists in a targeted, long-range, and flexible way – creating a structure where the line between development and presentation of work can productively blur.”

LIVE ARTS BREWERY

At a Glance

Location: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
Residency length: local artists, ongoing for 1+ year; visiting artists, 1 - 4 weeks at a time
Average number of artists in-residence at a time: local artists, up to 10; visiting artists, 1 - 2
Residency season: year-round
Approximate % of residents that are dancemakers: 50%
Disciplines supported: theater, dance, and multidisciplinary performance work
Facilities: The LAB provides artists with a state-of-the-art theater facility at the Live Arts Studio, a 2,400-square-foot space housed in a former brewery adjacent to the Live Arts Festival and Philly Fringe administrative offices. The theater is equipped with professional sound equipment and a lighting grid. An additional 4,000-square-foot space houses a studio dedicated to research and development activity.
Public access: The LAB provides opportunities for works-in-progress showings, informal performances, and full production of new work. The LAB is particularly invested in engaging audiences in the creative process and actively encourages artists to share work at various stages of development.

www.livearts-fringe.org

From Craig Peterson, Director, Live Arts Brewery

“While networks and structures exist for the presentation of artists’ work, there is limited access for collaborative, organizational artist support in the creation of work.”

“The Chocolate Factory values the process of creation and the spirit of experimentation. Artists invited to work at The Chocolate Factory receive dedicated rehearsal and performance residencies and are encouraged to put the space and technical resources to use in imaginative ways, with very few practical limitations.

From Brian Rogers, Artistic Director

“While networks and structures exist for the presentation of artists’ work, there is limited access for collaborative, organizational artist support in the creation of work.”
**VERMONT PERFORMANCE LAB**

The Lab Program is at the heart of Vermont Performance Lab’s (VPL) mission to support the creation and development of new work by contemporary performing artists. Each year VPL selects three to four artists to participate in the Lab Program – a creative residency where artists have access to various kinds of creative space in a small rural Vermont community. In this retreat-like setting, artists can concentrate on research and experimentation and test performance ideas with small audiences.

By pooling resources with local partners, VPL can offer artists top-rate creative space, comfortable housing, and opportunities for artists to engage with scholars, students, artists, and the community at large. As the program grows, VPL plans to develop on-site housing in Guilford and expand its reach to include collaborations with other regional and national arts organizations to provide more comprehensive support for the artists VPL serves.

From Sara Coffey, Director

“Open your arms to dance: Stretch the disciplinary boundaries of your artist residency. Chances are your program can already accommodate dancemakers in some way. Consider, too, how you can better serve artists working collaboratively. Writers, visual artists, musicians, theater artists, and others engage in collective art-making as well, and residencies that do not allow for collaborative groups to work together are closing the door to more than just dance.”

**WHAT RESOURCES ARE NEEDED FOR RESIDENCIES IN ORDER TO PROVIDE GREATER SUPPORT FOR DANCE?**

1. **INFORMATION:** Knowledge of dance networks through which to promote opportunities, and a better understanding of the needs of dancemakers.
2. **MONEY:** Increased financial support for stipends (for single choreographers as well as groups of dance-makers), housing, meals, and travel, as well as funding for technical equipment and support.
3. **SPACE:** Flexible space that can accommodate a variety of creative dance processes – this does not only mean a traditional dance studio (see # 4 and 5 in the next column). And while there are many acceptable, rather than optimal, spaces that can accommodate dancemakers, quality space still matters.
4. **PRESENTING OPPORTUNITIES:** Whether showing a work-in-progress to local community members, sharing new movement ideas with other artists, or presenting a fully staged production in a theater, dancemakers can greatly benefit from the opportunity to preview or polish their work in front of an audience.
WHAT CAN ARTIST RESIDENCIES DO TO EXTEND OPPORTUNITIES TO DANCERS?

1. OPEN YOUR ARMS TO DANCE: Stretch the disciplinary boundaries of your artist residency. Chances are your program can already accommodate dancemakers in some way. Consider, too, how you can better serve artists working collaboratively. Writers, visual artists, musicians, theater artists, and others engage in collective art-making as well, and residencies that do not allow for collaborative groups to work together are closing the door to more than just dance.

2. WE WANT YOU! Publicize your enthusiasm for applications from dancemakers; stating that residencies are “open to artists of all disciplines” is not enough. And ensure informed consideration of applicants by including dance professionals on judging panels or as advisors to the selection process.

3. SEEK OUT DANCEMAKERS: Access dance networks, former artists-in-residence, dance media, etc., for promotion and outreach of the opportunities you offer. Craft marketing materials that speak specifically to dancemakers, and share with other regional and national arts organizations to provide more comprehensive support for the artists VPL serves.

4. BY JOINING FORCES WITH OTHER LIKE-MINDED ORGANIZATIONS THAT VALUE THE ARTIST-CENTERED APPROACH WE CAN COLLECTIVELY DEVELOP INVENTIVE MODELS FOR SUPPORTING ARTISTS AND OUR VARIOUS COMMUNITIES.

5. MAKE IT PUBLIC: Support the desire of dancemakers – in mid- and late-stages of development in particular – to share their work with the public, whether work-in-progress, previously completed work, open rehearsals and workshops, or new productions.

6. BE STAGE-SENSITIVE: Understand the particular needs and interests of dancemakers in each stage of their creative process. Assess your present capacity to support early-, mid-, and/or late-stage work and make strategic choices about how you want to support dancemakers.

7. POOL YOUR LOCAL RESOURCES: Increase dancemakers’ access to facilities and resources by partnering with local organizations such as schools, houses of worship, opera houses, skate parks, empty warehouses, etc. Be creative about the space and resources you can offer dancemakers, and figure out together how to make the most of it.

8. AUDIENCE DEVELOPMENT: Consider collaborating with presenters who can help bring the work developed at your residency to a wider audience. These collaborations can help to sustain the careers of dancemakers. Presenters do not need to be located near the residency – they can be anywhere!

WHAT RESOURCES ARE NEEDED FOR RESIDENCIES IN ORDER TO PROVIDE GREATER SUPPORT FOR DANCE?

1. INFORMATION: Knowledge of dance networks through which to promote opportunities, and a better understanding of the needs of dancemakers.

2. MONEY: Increased financial support for stipends (for single choreographers as well as groups of dance-makers), housing, meals, and travel, as well as funding for technical equipment and support.

3. SPACE: Flexible space that can accommodate a variety of creative dance processes – this does not only mean a traditional dance studio (see # 4 and 5 in the next column). And while there are many acceptable, rather than optimal, spaces that can accommodate dancemakers, quality space still matters.

4. PRESENTING OPPORTUNITIES: Whether showing a work-in-progress to local community members, sharing new movement ideas with other artists, or presenting a fully staged production in a theater, dancemakers can greatly benefit from the opportunity to preview or polish their work in front of an audience.

VERMONT PERFORMANCE LAB AT A GLANCE

Location: Guilford, Vermont
Residency length: 3 days to 2 weeks
Average number of artists in-residence at a time: one company/ensemble
Residency season: year-round
Approximate % of residents that are dancemakers: 80%
Disciplines supported: dance, music, puppetry, and theater
Facilities: VPL partners with Guilford Sound, Marlboro College, and other local community organizations to leverage space and resources for artists.
Public access: As part of every residency, VPL engages the local community in the artist’s research and development process through open rehearsals, artist talks, workshops, demonstrations, exhibitions, community involvement in the making of work, and performances. A typical residency includes multiple creative periods over an 8-month period. VPL often partners with local organizations to host such residencies and create meaningful connections between artists and communities.

www.vermontperformancelab.com

VERMONT PERFORMANCE LAB

The Lab Program is at the heart of Vermont Performance Lab’s (VPL) mission to support the creation and development of new work by contemporary performing artists. Each year VPL selects three to four artists to participate in the Lab Program – a creative residency where artists have access to various kinds of creative space in a small rural Vermont community. In this retreat-like setting, artists can concentrate on research and experimentation and test performance ideas with small audiences.

By pooling resources with local partners, VPL can offer artists top-rate creative space, comfortable housing, and opportunities for artists to engage with scholars, students, artists, and the community at large. As the program grows, VPL plans to develop on-site housing in Guilford and expand its reach to include collaborations with other regional and national arts organizations to provide more comprehensive support for the artists VPL serves.

From Sara Coffey, Director

“Our primary focus in Vermont is on supporting artists in the research and development of new work and re-thinking how artists can engage with communities. We tailor each residency to the needs of the artists. By joining forces with other like-minded organizations that value the artist-centered approach we can collectively develop inventive models for supporting artists and our various communities.”

Choreographer/performer Candice Salyers during a Vermont Performance Lab residency for the development of “Significant Figures” (2010). Photo by Jeff Woodward

PLAN OF ACTION

WHAT CAN ARTIST RESIDENCIES DO TO EXTEND OPPORTUNITIES TO DANCERS?
9. SHARE BEST PRACTICES: Build a strong peer network among artist residencies – those specifically committed to supporting the work of dancemakers as well as other artist residencies in general. Share information about how best to support dancemakers and performing artists, explore possibilities for collaboration, exchange marketing and outreach information, and use each other to troubleshoot and problem-solve.

10. SPEAK OUT: Advocate to funders, policy-makers, and the media about the needs of dancemakers in the development of new work and encourage greater attention and investment in this under-served group of artists. Articulate the effectiveness and impact of a residency on an artist’s career and on the cultural world at large.

HOW CAN FUNDERS, DANCE ORGANIZATIONS, THE ALLIANCE OF ARTISTS COMMUNITIES, AND OTHERS PROVIDE GREATER SUPPORT FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF NEW DANCE THROUGH RESIDENCY PROGRAMS?

1. SHARE INFORMATION: Highlight the opportunities for dancemakers through online databases, publications, social networks, etc. Share links to resources that already exist and make it easier for dancemakers to explore the abundance and diversity of available opportunities. Develop networking opportunities among dance residencies, dancemakers, and other stakeholders to assess the state of the field. Recommend dance professionals as jurors and advisors to residency programs.

2. SUPPORT RESIDENCY PROGRAMS: Encourage the professional development of dance residencies through the Alliance of Artists Communities and other service providers. Support new facilities, programs, partnerships, and outreach that serve dancemakers. Consult with artist residencies on how best to build their capacity to support dance.

3. ENCOURAGE COLLABORATION: Provide models to residency programs for leveraging existing facilities and resources to meet the needs and respond to the challenges of dancemakers in different stages of their creative process. Help connect residency programs with presenters, universities, dance schools, and others.

4. PROVIDE FUNDING FOR THE CREATION OF NEW DANCE: Offer stipends, travel subsidies, and scholarships for dancemakers participating in residency programs. Provide grants directly to dancemakers. Support presenters and others in offering space to dancemakers to develop, rehearse, and workshop without immediate production demands.

5. OUTREACH TO DANCEMAKERS: Maintain a database of dancemakers, networks, media, and other avenues for promoting residencies. Encourage dancemakers to seek out residencies.

6. SPEAK OUT: Advocate to your peers about the needs of dancemakers in the development of new work and encourage greater attention and investment in this under-served group of artists.

“We strive to mind the gaps – to challenge ourselves and the field . . . to shift from an environment of ‘we make do’ to one of abundance.”

CONCLUSIONS

Studying the conditions for the creation of new dance inspires hope and dismay in almost equal parts. On the one hand, dancemakers are struggling now more than ever to find adequate and appropriate support for their work. And on the other hand, literally hundreds of organizations are eager to serve – and even expand their service to – the field of dance. Standing in the gap between these two are three critical components: money, space, and information.

Artist residency programs offer one of the only opportunities for artists to engage in research and development – in the exploration of new ideas free from outcome expectations. Without time and resources for creative development, all other components suffer – performances and tours, workshops and training, and of course the art itself.

Artist residency programs that wish to play a role in bridging the gap for dancemakers can take on these efforts in a number of ways. On a small scale, by improving communications and outreach to dancemakers. On a mid-sized scale, by developing partnerships for studio space or equipment, borrowing or buying a portable dance floor, or offering opportunities for dancemakers to show works-in-progress to a local audience. And on a larger scale, by creating or partnering with theater facilities and developing funding to more fully support the creation of dance, theater, and other performance-based work.

For our part, the Alliance of Artists Communities is committed to reaching out to dancemakers and providing tools that better enable them to find support for their work. And recognizing that these challenges are not exclusive to dance, we strive to mind the gaps – to challenge ourselves and our field to build support for under-served artists, and to advocate to funders, researchers, and others in order to shift from an environment of “we make do” to one of abundance for artists and artist residency programs.
How Can Funders, Dance Organizations, the Alliance of Artists Communities, and Others Provide Greater Support for the Development of New Dance Through Residency Programs?

1. Share Information: Highlight the opportunities for dancemakers through online databases, publications, social networks, etc. Share links to resources that already exist and make it easier for dancemakers to explore the abundance and diversity of available opportunities. Develop networking opportunities among dance residencies, dancemakers, and other stakeholders to assess the state of the field. Recommend dance professionals as jurors and advisors to residency programs.

2. Support Residency Programs: Encourage the professional development of dance residencies through the Alliance of Artists Communities and other service providers. Support new facilities, programs, partnerships, and outreach that serve dancemakers. Consult with artist residencies on how best to build their capacity to support dance.

3. Encourage Collaboration: Provide models to residency programs for leveraging existing facilities and resources to meet the needs and respond to the challenges of dancemakers in different stages of their creative process. Help connect residency programs with presenters, universities, dance schools, and others.

4. Provide Funding for the Creation of New Dance: Offer stipends, travel subsidies, and scholarships for dancemakers participating in residency programs. Provide grants directly to dancemakers. Support presenters and others in offering space to dancemakers to develop, rehearse, and workshop without immediate production demands.

5. Outreach to Dancemakers: Maintain a database of dancemakers, networks, media, and other avenues for promoting residencies. Encourage dancemakers to seek out residencies.

6. Speak Out: Advocate to your peers about the needs of dancemakers in the development of new work and encourage greater attention and investment in this under-served group of artists.

“We strive to mind the gaps – to challenge ourselves and the field . . . to shift from an environment of ‘we make do’ to one of abundance.”

Conclusions

Studying the conditions for the creation of new dance inspires hope and dismay in almost equal parts. On the one hand, dancemakers are struggling now more than ever to find adequate and appropriate support for their work. And on the other hand, literally hundreds of organizations are eager to serve – and even expand their service to – the field of dance. Standing in the gap between these two are three critical components: money, space, and information.

Artist residency programs offer one of the only opportunities for artists to engage in research and development – in the exploration of new ideas free from outcome expectations. Without time and resources for creative development, all other components suffer – performances and tours, workshops and training, and of course the art itself.

Artist residency programs that wish to play a role in bridging the gap for dancemakers can take on these efforts in a number of ways. On a small scale, by improving communications and outreach to dancemakers. On a mid-sized scale, by developing partnerships for studio space or equipment, borrowing or buying a portable dance floor, or offering opportunities for dancemakers to show works-in-progress to a local audience. And on a larger scale, by creating or partnering with theater facilities and developing funding to more fully support the creation of dance, theater, and other performance-based work.

For our part, the Alliance of Artists Communities is committed to reaching out to dancemakers and providing tools that better enable them to find support for their work. And recognizing that these challenges are not exclusive to dance, we strive to mind the gaps – to challenge ourselves and our field to build support for under-served artists, and to advocate to funders, researchers, and others in order to shift from an environment of “we make do” to one of abundance for artists and artist residency programs.
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ARTIST RESIDENCIES AT-A-GLANCE

OVERVIEW
- estimated # of artist residency programs in North America: 500
- estimated number of artist residency programs worldwide: 5,000+
- artists provided with residencies in North America annually: 10,000+
- applications for residencies in North America annually: 80,000+
- average length of residency: 8 weeks

ARTISTIC DISCIPLINES
Artist residency programs that offer residencies in:
- visual arts (exclusively or in addition to other disciplines): 91%
- writing: 77%
- media arts: 64%
- music: 53%
- architecture / design: 49%
- scholarship: 40%
- dance: 32%
- a single field or discipline only: 30%

GEOGRAPHY (WITHIN NORTH AMERICA)
Artist residency programs in:
- rural areas: 47%
- urban areas: 29%
- small towns: 21%
- suburban areas: 9%

FEES + STIPENDS (WITHIN NORTH AMERICA)
- programs that offer residencies at no cost: 91%
- programs that offer residencies at no cost and also provide stipends: 26%
- programs that offer fee-based residencies: 35%
- programs that offer fee-based residencies but also provide scholarships and subsidies: 34%
- estimated value of support and services provided to artists-in-residence annually: $40 million

APPENDIX B

Please note: We continue to identify additional residency programs that offer support for dance and do not intend for these lists to be comprehensive. Please visit www.artistcommunities.org for more up-to-date information.

NORTH-AMERICAN RESIDENCIES THAT OFFER SUPPORT FOR DANCE (CONFIRMED AS OF OCTOBER 2010)

Indicates artist residencies that exclusively or predominantly support performance-based work

159 Gallery
18th Street Arts Center
Acadia National Park
Edward F. Albee Foundation
\* American Dance Festival
Anderson Center for Interdisciplinary Studies
Art Farm
Art342
Artula Institute
\* Asia-Pacific Performance Exchange Fellowship
Atlantic Center for the Arts
The Aurora Project
Badlands National Park
\* The Banff Centre | Theatre Arts Residencies
\* Baryshnikov Arts Center
Bates Dance Festival
Beartow
Bemis Center for Contemporary Arts
Blackrock Nature Sanctuary
Blue Mountain Center
Blue Sky Dayton Project
\* Boston Center for the Arts | Dance Residency
Brooklyn Arts Exchange (BAE)
Buffalo National River
Burlington City Arts | Artist-in-Residence
Caldera
Cape Cod National Seashore: C-Scape Dune Shack
Centrum
\* Chicago Department of Cultural Affairs | DanceBridge
\* The Chocolate Factory
Community Education Center | New Edge Residency
\* Summer Stages Dance at Concord Academy | Choreographer’s Project

Lowell
Santa Monica
Acadia National Park
Montauk
Durham
Red Wing
Marquette
Fort Collins
Bandon
Los Angeles
New Smyrna Beach
Aurora
Interior
Buffalo
Boston
Brooklyn
Buffalo National River
Burlington
Caldera
Sisters
Provincetown
Port Townsend
Chicago
Long Island City
Philadelphia
Concord

MA  www.159gallery.org
CA  www.18thstreet.org
ME  www.nps.gov/archive/volunteer/air.htm
NY  www.albeeFOUNDATION.org
NC  www.americandancefestival.org
MN  www.andersoncenter.org
NE  www.artfarmnebraska.org
CO  www.art342.org
OR  www.artula.org
CA  www.wac.ucla.edu/cip/residency/
US  www.atlanticcenterforthearts.org
WV  www.auroraproject.org
SD  www.nps.gov/archive/volunteer/air.htm
AB  www.banffcentre.ca/theatre/residencies
NY  www.bacnyc.org
ME  www.batesdancefestival.org
ME  www.beartow.org
NE  www.bemiscenter.org
MN  www.nps.gov/archive/volunteer/air.htm
NY  www.blueskydayton.org
MA  www.bcaonline.org
NY  www.bax.org/artist-services/artists-in-residence/
AR  www.nps.gov/archive/volunteer/air.htm
VT  www.burlingtoncityarts.com/opportunities
OR  www.calderarts.org
MA  www.nps.gov/archive/volunteer/air.htm
WA  www.centrum.org/residencies
IL  www.cityofchicago.org/chicago/depts/da/artppp_infolink_more_aboutdancebridge.html
NY  www.chocolatefactorytheater.org
PA  www.cecarts.org
MA  www.summerstagedance.org
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NORTH-AMERICAN RESIDENCIES THAT OFFER SUPPORT FOR DANCE (CONFIRMED AS OF OCTOBER 2010)
* Indicates artist residencies that exclusively or predominantly support performance-based work

195 Gallery
Lowell MA www.195gallery.org
s18th Street Arts Center
Santa Monica CA www.s18thstreet.org
Acadia National Park
Acadia National Park ME www.nps.gov/archive/volunteer/air.htm
Edward F. Albee Foundation
Montauk NY www.albeeфонdation.org
* American Dance Festival
Durham NC www.americandancefestival.org
Anderson Center for Interdisciplinary Studies
Red Wing MN www.andersoncenter.org
Art Farm
Marquette NE www.artfarmme부skia.org
Arts &Culture Institute
Fort Collins CO www.arts&culture Institute.org
* Asia-Pacific Performance Exchange Fellowship
Bandon OR www.arul.org
* Atlantic Center for the Arts
Los Angeles CA www.wac.ucla.edu/cip/residency/asia-pacific-performance-exchange
The Aurora Project
New Smyrna Beach FL www.atlanticcenterfortharts.org
Badlands National Park
Aurora WY www.auroraproject.org
* The Banff Centre | Theatre Arts Residencies
Interior SD www.nps.gov/archive/volunteer/air.htm
Baryshnikov Arts Center
Banff (Canada) www.banffcentre.ca/theatre/residencies
Bates Dance Festival
New York NY www.bacnyc.org
Beamstown
Lewiston ME www.batesdancefestival.org
Bemis Center for Contemporary Arts
Mount Vernon ME www.beamstown.org
Blacklock Nature Sanctuary
Omaha NE www.bemiscenter.org
Blue Mountain Center
Moose Lake MN www.nps.gov/archive/volunteer/air.htm
Blue Sky Dayton Project
Blue Mountain Lake NY www.blueskydayton.org
* Boston Center for the Arts | Dance Residency
Dayton OH www.blueskydayton.org
Brooklyn Arts Exchange (BAE)
Boston MA www.bcaonline.org
* Brooklyn Arts Exchange (BAE)
Brooklyn NY www.bax.org/artist-services/artists-in-residence/
Buffalo National River
Buffalo National River AR www.nps.gov/archive/volunteer/air.htm
Burlington City Arts | Artist-in-Residence
Burlington VT www.burlingtoncityarts.com/opportunities
Caldera
Sisters OR www.caldera.org
Cape Cod National Seashore: C-Scape Dune Shack
Provincetown MA www.nps.gov/archive/volunteer/air.htm
Centrum
Port Townsend WA www.centrum.org/residencies
* Chicago Department of Cultural Affairs | DanceBridge
Chicago IL www.cityofchicago.org/city/en/depts/dkalcupp info/learn more aboutdancebridge.html
* The Chocolate Factory
Long Island City NY www.chocolatefactorytheater.org
Community Education Center | New Edge Residency
Philadelphia PA www.ceca.org
Summer Stages Dance at Concord Academy | Choreographer’s Project
Concord MA www.summerstagesdance.org
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CounterPULSE
San Francisco, CA
www.counterpulse.org/programs/
artist-in-residence-program/

Crate Lake National Park
Crate Lake, OR
www.nps.gov/crla/index.htm

Cuyahoga Valley National Park
Peninsula, OH
www.nps.gov/crva/air.htm

Djerassi Resident Artists Program
Woodside, CA
www.djerassi.org

Dorland Mountain Arts Colony
Temecula, CA
www.dorlandartso.org

The Cultural Center at Eagle Hill | STAR Residency
Hardwick, MA
www.centerateaglehill.org

East Earthdance
Plainfield, MA
www.eastearthdance.net

East Village Dance Project | GOH Productions
New York, NY
www.evadelvillageproject.com

Emerson Landing Artist Retreat Center
Wye Mills, MD
N/A

EMPIAC (Experimental Media & Performing Arts Center)
Troy, NY
www.empac.rpi.edu

Exploratorium
San Francisco, CA
www.exploratorium.edu/arts

Flying E Ranch Residency | Del E. Webb Center
Wickenburg AZ
www.delewebbcenter.org/about_the_center/flying_e_residency

Footloose Dance Company | AIM: Artists in Motion
Boston, MA
www.gardnerrmuseum.org/contemp/artists.asp

Goldwell
Rhylside, NY
www.goldwellmuseum.org

Grand Canyon National Park
North Rim, AZ
www.nps.gov/chrg/air.htm

Group Motion
Philadelphia, PA
www.groupmotion.org

Hambridge Center for Creative Arts & Sciences
Rabun Gap, GA
www.hambridge.org

Harold Arts
Chesterhill, OH
www.haroldarts.org

Headlands Center for the Arts
Marin Headlands, CA
www.headlands.org

Herbert Hoover National Historical Site
West Branch, IA
www.nps.gov/archives/air.htm

The Hermitage Artist Retreat
Englewood, FL
www.heritage-fl.org

Susan Hess Modern Dance | Choreographers Project
Philadelphia, PA
www.bassdance.org/choreographers-project

Homestead National Monument of America
Beatrice, NE
www.nps.gov/home

Hipstitch House
Prospect, KY
www.how.org/hopshouse.html

Hot Springs National Park
Hot Springs, AR
www.nps.gov/archives/air.htm

Isle Royale National Park
Houghton, MI
www.nps.gov/archives/air.htm

Jack Straw Productions | Artist Support Program
Seattle, WA
www.jackstraw.org

Jacob’s Pillow
Becket, MA
www.jacobspillow.org/about/
creative-development.php

Kaatsbaan International Dance Center
Tivoli, NY
www.kaatsbaan.org

Rebecca Kelly Ballet Choreography Mentorship Lab
New York, NY
www.rebeccakellyballet.com

John Michael Kohler Arts Center | Connecting Communities
Sheboygan, WI
www.jmkac.org/genres/communities

The Laundromat Project
Brooklyn, NY
www.laundromatproject.org

Links Hall | LinkUP Residencies
Chicago, IL
www.linksall.org/linkup.shtml

Live Arts Brewery | Philadelphia Live Arts Festival
Philadelphia, PA
www.livearts-fringe.org

Longhouse Education and Cultural Center | Native Artists in Residence
Olympia, WA
www.evergreen.edu/longhouse/residenc.htm

Lower Manhattan Cultural Council | Swing Space
New York, NY
www.lmcc.net/swingspace

The MacDowall Colony
Peterborough, NH
www.macdowallcolony.org

Maggie Allesee National Center for Choreography
Tallahassee, FL
www.mancog.org

Mascher Space Co-op
Philadelphia, PA
www.mascherdance.com

MASS MoCA
North Adams, MA
www.massmoca.org/submissions.php

Miami Light Project
Miami, FL
www.miamilightproject.com

Montalvo Arts Center | Lucas Artists Programs
Montana Artists Refuge

Movement Research Artist-in-Residence Program
New York, NY
www.movementresearch.org/artist/opportunities/air/index.php

Myrna Loy Center
Helena, MT
www.mynaloycenter.com

National Performance Network | Performance Residency Program
New York, NY
www.npnweb.org/whatwe/programs/perform-residency/

New Festival
Philadelphia, PA
www.newfestival.net

New York Live Arts (formerly Dance Theater Workshop)
New York, NY
www.nylofts.org

North Cascades National Park
OMI International Arts Center

Packaging House Center for the Arts
Philadelphia, PA
www.philaartshouse.org

PlatteForum
Denver, CO
www.platteforum.org

Portland Institute for Contemporary Art
Portland, OR
www.pica.org/program/residencies/index.php

Prairie Center of the Arts
Peoria, IL
www.prairiecenterarts.org

Ragdale Foundation
Lake Forest, IL
www.ragdale.org

Red Cinder Creativity Center
North Miami Beach, HI
www.red-cinder.com

San Francisco
www.redpoppyarthouse.org

Providence
RI
www.ric.edu/mtld/danceProgram_dancecompany.php

Estes Park
Colorado
www.nps.gov/estes/supportyourpark/artist_in_residence.htm

San Francisco
CA
www.g97howard.com

Santa Fe Art Institute
Santa Fe, NM
www.sfa的方式来

Seachange Residencies
Provincetown, MA
www.seachangeresidencies.org

Silo Guest Artist Residencies
Springdine, PA
www.danceonnewengland.org/silo.php

Sitka Center for Art & Ecology
Otis, OR
www.sitkacentre.org

Hobart and William Smith Colleges
Geneva, NY
www.hws.edu

Spiro Arts
Park City, UT
www.spiroarts.org

Pittsburgh Live Arts
Pittsburgh, PA
www.cmusestudio.com

New Orleans
LA
www.astudiofthewoods.org

Key West
FL
www.keywest.org

Dial Arts
GA
www.sustainable-arts.org

Swarthmore
PA
www.swarthmore.edu/news-184x.html

Ely
MN
www.toftelake.com

New York
NY
www.tribecapac.org/air.htm
Alliance of Artists Communities
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- **CounterPULSE**
  - San Francisco, CA
  - [www.counterpulse.org/programs/artist-in-residence-program](http://www.counterpulse.org/programs/artist-in-residence-program)

- **Craner Lake National Park**
  - Crater Lake, OR
  - [www.nps.gov/crln/air.htm](http://www.nps.gov/crln/air.htm)

- **Cuyahoga Valley National Park**
  - Peninsula, OH
  - [www.nps.gov/archiver/volunteer/air.htm](http://www.nps.gov/archiver/volunteer/air.htm)

- **Djerassi Resident Artists Program**
  - Woodside, CA
  - [www.djerassi.org](http://www.djerassi.org)

- **Dorland Mountain Arts Colony**
  - Temescal, CA
  - [www.dorlandartscolony.org](http://www.dorlandartscolony.org)

- **The Cultural Center at Eagle Hill | STAR Residency**
  - Harwich, MA
  - [www.centreateaglehill.org](http://www.centreateaglehill.org)

- **Earthdance**
  - Plainfield, MA
  - [www.earthdance.net](http://www.earthdance.net)

- **East Village Dance Project | GDH Productions**
  - New York, NY
  - [www.eastvillagedanceproject.com](http://www.eastvillagedanceproject.com)

- **Emerson Landing Artist Retreat Center**
  - Wye Mills, MD
  - N/A

- **EMPAC (Experimental Media & Performing Arts Center)**
  - Troy, NY
  - [www.empac.rpi.edu](http://www.empac.rpi.edu)

- **Exploratorium**
  - San Francisco, CA
  - [www.exploratorium.edu/arts](http://www.exploratorium.edu/arts)

- **Flying E Ranch Residency | Del E. Webb Center for the Performing Arts**
  - Wickenburg, AZ
  - [www.delewebbcenter.org/about_the_center/flying_e_residency](http://www.delewebbcenter.org/about_the_center/flying_e_residency)

- **Footloose Dance Company | AIM: Artists in Motion**
  - Boston, MA
  - [www.footloose.org](http://www.footloose.org)

- **Goldwell**
  - Rhyolte, NV
  - [www.goldwellmuseum.org](http://www.goldwellmuseum.org)

- **Grand Canyon National Park**
  - North Rim, AZ
  - [www.nps.gov/archiver/volunteer/air.htm](http://www.nps.gov/archiver/volunteer/air.htm)

- **Group Motion**
  - Philadelphia, PA
  - [www.groupmotion.org](http://www.groupmotion.org)

- **Hambridge Center for Creative Arts & Sciences**
  - Rabun Gap, GA
  - [www.hambridge.org](http://www.hambridge.org)

- **Harold Arts**
  - Chesterhill, OH
  - [www.harolarts.org](http://www.harolarts.org)

- **Headlands Center for the Arts**
  - Marin Headlands, CA
  - [www.headlands.org](http://www.headlands.org)

- **Herbert Hoover National Historical Site**
  - West Branch, IA
  - [www.nps.gov/archiver/volunteer/air.htm](http://www.nps.gov/archiver/volunteer/air.htm)

- **The Hermitage Artist Retreat**
  - Englewood, FL
  - [www.hermitage-fl.org](http://www.hermitage-fl.org)

- **Susan Hess Modern Dance | Choreographers Project**
  - Philadelphia, PA
  - [www.hessdance.org/choreographers-project](http://www.hessdance.org/choreographers-project)

- **Homestead National Monument of America**
  - Beatrice, NE
  - [www.nps.gov/home](http://www.nps.gov/home)

- **Hopscotch House**
  - Prospect, KY
  - [www.hfwh.org/hopshouse.html](http://www.hfwh.org/hopshouse.html)

- **Hot Springs National Park**
  - Hot Springs, AR
  - [www.nps.gov/archiver/volunteer/air.htm](http://www.nps.gov/archiver/volunteer/air.htm)

- **Isla Royale National Park**
  - Houghton, MI
  - [www.nps.gov/archiver/volunteer/air.htm](http://www.nps.gov/archiver/volunteer/air.htm)

- **Jack Straw Productions | Artist Support Program**
  - Seattle, WA
  - [www.jackstraw.org](http://www.jackstraw.org)

- **Jacob’s Pillow**
  - Becket, MA
  - [www.jacobspillow.org/about/creative-development.php](http://www.jacobspillow.org/about/creative-development.php)

- **Kaatsbaan International Dance Center**
  - Tioli, NY
  - [www.kaatsbaan.org](http://www.kaatsbaan.org)

- **Rebecca Kelly Ballet Choreography Mentorship Lab**
  - New York, NY
  - [www.rebeccakellyballet.com](http://www.rebeccakellyballet.com)

- **John Michael Kohler Arts Center | Connecting Communities**
  - Sheboygan, WI
  - [www.jmkac.org/connectingcommunities](http://www.jmkac.org/connectingcommunities)

- **The Laundromat Project**
  - Brooklyn, NY
  - [www.laundromatproject.org](http://www.laundromatproject.org)

- **Links Hall | LinkUP Residencies**
  - Chicago, IL
  - [www.linksall.org/linkup.shtml](http://www.linksall.org/linkup.shtml)

- **Live Arts Brewery | Philadelphia Live Arts Festival**
  - Philadelphia, PA
  - [www.livearts-fringe.org](http://www.livearts-fringe.org)

- **Longhouse Education and Cultural Center | Native Artists in Residence**
  - Long Island, NY
  - [www.longhouse.org/residence.htm](http://www.longhouse.org/residence.htm)

- **Lower Manhattan Cultural Council | Swing Space**
  - The MacDonnell Colony
  - Peterborough, NH
  - [www.macdowell.org](http://www.macdowell.org)

- **Maggie Allesee National Center for Choreography**
  - Tallahassee, FL
  - [www.manc.org](http://www.manc.org)

- **Mascher Space Co-op**
  - Philadelphia, PA
  - [www.mascherdance.com](http://www.mascherdance.com)

- **MASS MoCA**
  - North Adams, MA
  - [www.massmoca.org/submissions.php](http://www.massmoca.org/submissions.php)

- **Miami Light Project**
  - Miami, FL
  - [www.miamilightproject.com](http://www.miamilightproject.com)

- **Montalvo Arts Center | Lucas Artists Programs**
  - Montara, CA
  - [www.montalvoarts.org](http://www.montalvoarts.org)

- **Movement Research Artist-in-Residence Program**
  - New York, NY

- **Mt. Tamalpais College**
  - San Anselmo, CA
  - [www.mtisaserra.org](http://www.mtisaserra.org)

- **Myrna Loy Center**
  - Helena, MT
  - [www.mymraloycenter.com](http://www.mymraloycenter.com)

- **New Orleans Contemporary Arts Center**
  - New Orleans, LA
  - [www.npwweb.org/contemporaryartprograms/performanceresidency](http://www.npwweb.org/contemporaryartprograms/performanceresidency)

- **New York Mills**
  - New York Mills, MN
  - [www.kuikther.org](http://www.kuikther.org)

- **New York Mills Regional Cultural Center**
  - North Cascades National Park

- **Oghi Arts Center**
  - Providence, RI
  - [www.laundromatproject.org](http://www.laundromatproject.org)

- **Oklahoma Contemporary Arts Center**
  - Oklahoma City, OK
  - [www.okcontemp.org](http://www.okcontemp.org)

- **Olson|Madden**
  - San Francisco, CA
  - [www.olsonmadden.com](http://www.olsonmadden.com)

- **OMI International Arts Center**
  - Monticello, NY
  - [www.omicare.org](http://www.omicare.org)

- **Pack House Center for the Arts**
  - Philadelphia, PA
  - [www.packhouse.org](http://www.packhouse.org)

- **PlatteForum**
  - Denver, CO
  - [www.platteforum.org](http://www.platteforum.org)

- **Playa**
  - Santa Fe, NM
  - [www.playaarts.org](http://www.playaarts.org)

- **Portland Institute for Contemporary Art**
  - Portland, OR
  - [www.pica.org/programs/residencies.aspx](http://www.pica.org/programs/residencies.aspx)

- **Peoria Riverfront Museum**
  - Peoria, IL
  - [www.prairiecentralil.org](http://www.prairiecentralil.org)

- **Red Carpet Film Festival**
  - Lake Forest, IL
  - [www.redcarpetfilmfestival.com](http://www.redcarpetfilmfestival.com)

- **Red Poppy Art House**
  - San Francisco, CA
  - [www.redpoppyarthouse.org](http://www.redpoppyarthouse.org)

- **Rhode Island College Dance Company**
  - Providence, RI
  - [www.ric.edu/mtd/danceProgram_dancecompany.php](http://www.ric.edu/mtd/danceProgram_dancecompany.php)

- **Rocky Mountain National Park**
  - Estes Park, CO
  - [www.nps.gov/romn/supportyourpark/artist_in_residence.htm](http://www.nps.gov/romn/supportyourpark/artist_in_residence.htm)

- **SAFEhouse**
  - San Francisco, CA
  - [www.safehouse.org](http://www.safehouse.org)

- **Santa Fe Art Institute**
  - Santa Fe, NM
  - [www.safi.org](http://www.safi.org)

- **Scarecrow Arts Center**
  - Provincetown, MA
  - [www.washarescarecrow.com](http://www.washarescarecrow.com)

- **Spio Arts**
  - Napa, CA
  - [www.spioarts.org](http://www.spioarts.org)

- **STUDIO for Creative Inquiry**
  - Pittsburgh, PA
  - [www.cmuse.edu/studio](http://www.cmuse.edu/studio)

- **The Swarthmore Project | Time & Space for Dance**
  - Ely, MN
  - [www.toftelake.com](http://www.toftelake.com)

- **Tofte Lake Center at Norm’s Fish Camp**
  - New York, NY
  - [www.tribecapac.org/air.htm](http://www.tribecapac.org/air.htm)
La Macina di San Cresci
Maryland Institute College of Art

San Cresci | Italy
www.chianticom.com
www.mica.edu/programs_of_study/international_ and_off-campus_programs/mica_artist_residency_in_brittany.html

Meeting in Zdenov
Milkwood Artist Residence

Templce Nad Metouj | Czech Republic
www.meetinginzdenov.nl
www.milkwoodinternational.org

Palazzo Rinaldo Artists’ Residence
Polli Talu Arts Center

Cesky Krumlov | Czech Republic
www.mila novaartistorgg.net

Raumars A.I.R
Red Gate Residency

www.politalko.org
www.raumars.org

Istituto Sacatar

www.redgateresidency.com
www.sacatar.org

Skopelos Foundation for the Arts
Taipei Artist Village

www.fundacionvalparaiso.org
www.westartvillage.org

Villa Stradiv	

www.villastraedli.ch

A BRIEF LISTING OF RESIDENCIES OUTSIDE NORTH AMERICA THAT SUPPORT DANCE

ACOSS
Akademie Schloss Solitude
ArTEria | Residências Rurais Artisticas
Bains Connective
Beijing Studio Center
Bellagio Creative Artist Residencies
Bogliasco Foundation | Liguria Study Center
Centre d’art marany art centre (CAMAC)
Centro Rural de Arte

danceWEB Scholarship Programme

Denkmalschmiede Höfgen
Gullkistan
Ionion Center for the Arts and Culture

Assocation Janti-B | l’Ecole des Sables
Kunstenlogiés
La Napoule Art Foundation
La Jang Studio

Yerevan | Armenia
Stuttgart | Germany
Tondela | Portugal
Brussels | Belgium
Bellagio | Italy
Bogliasco | Italy
Marnay sur Seine | France
Mayo City | Argentinia
Vienna | Austria
Grimma | Germany
Laugarvatn | Iceland
Kefalonia | Greece
Toubab Dialaw | Senegal
Amersfort | The Netherlands
La Napoule | France
Lijiang | China

www.acoss.org
www.akademie-solitude.de
www.arteria.weebly.com
www.bains.be
www.beijingstudiocenter.org
www.rockefellerfoundation.org/bellagio-center
www.bfny.org
www.camac.org
www.centroruraldearte.org.ar
www.jardindeurope.eu
www.hoefgen.de
www.gullkistan.is
www.ionionartscenter.gr
www.janti.org
www.kunstenloogies.es
www.linaf.org
www.ljjangstudio.org

www.asso.com

www.villastraedli.ch

A Indicates artist residencies that exclusively or predominantly support performance-based work

Estudio Ar, the new dance studio at Instituto Sacatar in Itaparica, Brazil. Photo by Alex Esquivel
A BRIEF LISTING OF RESIDENCIES OUTSIDE NORTH AMERICA THAT SUPPORT DANCE

ACOSS
Akademie Schloss Solitude
The Arctic Circle
ARTERIA | Residencias Rurales Artisticas
Bains Connective
Beijing Studio Center
Bellagio Creative Artist Residencies
Bogliasco Foundation | Liguria Study Center
Centre d’art marin art centre (CAMAC)
Centro Rural de Arte
*danceWEB Scholarship Programme
Denkmalschmiede Höfgen
Gullkistan
Ionion Center for the Arts and Culture
* Association Jant-Bi | l’Ecole des Sables
Kunstenlogies
La Napoule Art Foundation
Lijiang Studio
La Macina di San Cresci
La Macina di San Cresci
Maryland Institute College of Art
Meeting in Zdönov
Milkwood Artist Residence
NAD Nuovi Autori Oggi
Officina Zone Umane (OZU)
* PAF | Performing Arts Forum
Palazzo Rinaldo Artists’ Residence
Polli Talu Arts Center
Raumars A.I.R
Red Gate Residency
Instituto Sacatar
Skopelos Foundation for the Arts
Taipei Artist Village
Fondación Valparaíso
Villa Sträuli

ACOSS
Yerevan
Arménie
www.acoss.org

Akademie Schloss Solitude
Stuttgart
Allemagne
www.akademie-solitude.de

The Arctic Circle
Svalbard
Norvège
www.theartcirc.de

ARTERIA | Residencias Rurales Artisticas
Tondela
Portugal
www.arteria.weebly.com

Bains Connective
Beijing
Chine
www.bains.be

Bellagio Creative Artist Residencies
Bellagio
Italie
www.rockefellerfoundation.org/
bellagio-center

Bogliasco Foundation | Liguria Study Center
Bogliasco
Italie
www.bfny.org

Centre d’art marin art centre (CAMAC)
Marnay sur Seine
France
www.camac.org

Centro Rural de Arte
Mayo City
Argentine
www.centroruraldearte.org.ar

*danceWEB Scholarship Programme

* Association Jant-Bi | l’Ecole des Sables

La Napoule Art Foundation
La Napoule
France
www.lnaf.org

Lijiang Studio
Lijiang
Chine
www.lijiangstudio.org

La Macina di San Cresci
Maryland Institute College of Art
Meeting in Zdönov
Milkwood Artist Residence
NAD Nuovi Autori Oggi
Officina Zone Umane (OZU)
* PAF | Performing Arts Forum

Villa Sträuli
San Cresci
Italie
www.chianticom.com

San Cresci
Italie
www.mica.edu/programs_of_study/international_and_off-campus_programs/mica_artist_residency_in_brittany.html

Templez Nad Metouj
Czech Republic
www.meetinginzdonov.nl

Milkwood Artist Residence
Czech Republic
www.milkwoodinternational.org

NAD Nuovi Autori Oggi
Milan
Italie
www.nausnoviautorioggi.net

Officina Zone Umane (OZU)
Italie
www.ozu.it

* PAF | Performing Arts Forum

Palazzo Rinaldo Artists’ Residence
Polli Talu Arts Center
Raumars A.I.R
Red Gate Residency

Instituto Sacatar
Brazil
www.sacatar.org

Skopelos Foundation for the Arts
Grece
www.skopart.org

Taipei Artist Village
Taiwan
www.artistsvillage.org

Fondación Valparaíso
Spain
www.fundacionvalparaiso.org

Villa Sträuli
Switzerland
www.villastraeuli.ch
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APPENDIX C

ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

1. Alliance of Artists Communities
   Video interviews with leading dancemakers and residency directors, including Reggie Wilson, Alex Ketley, Caliber, and MANCC
   Detailed results of these surveys
   Updated list of residency programs that offer support for dance
   Other resources for dancemakers
   www.artistcommunities.org/dance

2. Alliance of Artists Communities
   www.manc.org/forum/2a.html

   www.danceusa.org/roughwaters

   www.nea.gov/research/ArtistsinWorkforce.pdf

   www.urban.org/publications/412111.html

   www.mellon.org/grant_programs/program/documents/We-Make-Do-Nov-2010.pdf
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“Lau Nai” by choreographer Alessandro Carboni, with dancer Sayaka Kaizu, in-residence at NAO Nuovi Autori Oggi (2010). Photo by NAO
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“Lau Nai” by choreographer Alessandro Carboni, with dancer Sayaka Kaiwa, in-residence at NAO Nuovi Autori Oggi (2010). Photo by NAO
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