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In 2019, giving by all active US private and community foundations rose approximately 10%. Among the largest US independent, corporate, community, and grantmaking operating foundations included in Candid’s 2019 Foundation 1000 data set, overall giving was up 7% among a matched set of funders, however, arts and culture funding was down 10%. Nevertheless, arts and culture remained among top foundation funding priorities.

Highlights
Candid offers these key findings from GIA’s twentieth snapshot of foundation giving to arts and culture. The definition of arts and culture used for this snapshot is based on Candid’s Philanthropy Classification System and encompasses funding for the performing arts, museums, visual arts, multidisciplinary arts, humanities, historical activities, arts services, folk arts, public arts, and cultural awareness. The findings in this snapshot are based on analysis of two closely related data sets. The analysis of the distribution of 2019 arts and culture giving uses the latest Foundation 1000 dataset, while the analysis of changes in foundation giving for the arts between 2018 and 2019 use a matched set of foundations that are consistent between the Foundation 1000 for each of those two years.

Arts funding as a share of total dollars was down in 2019.
Among the 1,000 largest foundations included in Candid’s grants sample for 2019, arts giving totaled $2.5 billion, or 7% of overall grant dollars. Compared to the previous year, share of dollars was down and share of number of grants remained basically unchanged.

Foundation funding for arts and culture was down in 2019.
Among a matched set of leading funders, arts funding declined 10% between 2018 and 2019 compared to a 7% increase in overall giving by these foundations.

The size of the median arts grant was up.
The median arts and culture grant size — $30,000 — increased from $28,150. This was below the $40,000 median amount for all foundation grants in the latest year.

Large grants account for more than half of arts grant dollars.
Large arts grants of $500,000 and more captured 63% of total grant dollars for the arts in 2019, down from 65% in 2018.

Relative to overall giving, a larger share of arts grant dollars was for general operating support.
In 2019, general operating support accounted for 24% of arts and culture grant dollars. The share is higher than the 20% share awarded for general operating support for overall giving.

Top arts funders accounted for a smaller share of overall giving than in 2018.
The top twenty-five arts funders by giving amount provided 38% of total foundation arts dollars in 2019, down from the 42% share reported in 2018. The share of arts giving accounted for by the top funders has remained relatively consistent for the past decade.

Please note: It is important to keep in mind that the foundation grantmaking examined here represents only one source of arts financing. It does not examine arts support from earned income, governments, individual donors, or the business community. This analysis also looks only at foundation arts support for nonprofit organizations, and not for individual artists, commercial arts enterprises, or informal and unincorporated activities.

Specific Findings
Overall foundation dollars for the arts.
The foundations included in Candid’s 2019 Foundation 1000 data set awarded 15,984 arts and culture grants totaling $2.5 billion, or 7% of overall grant dollars (figure 1). Compared to the previous year, the share for arts dollars was down (7% versus 9%), while the share of number of grants remained basically unchanged. Among a matched subset of 705 funders, grant dollars for the arts was down 10% between 2018 and 2019, compared to a 7% increase in grant dollars overall. Among the top-ranked subject areas by grant dollars, education, international relations, and human rights reported the fastest increases in dollars (figure 2).

The impact of exceptionally large grants.
Every year and in all funding areas, a few very large grants can skew overall totals, creating distortions...
in long-term grantmaking trends. In 2019, 15 arts and culture grants provided at least $10 million, and instances where these grants had a notable impact on grantmaking patterns are identified throughout this analysis. Yet despite the potential fluctuations caused by these exceptional grants, Candid data in all fields have always included them, providing consistency over time. (In addition, Candid provides statistics based on share of number of grants, which are not skewed by exceptionally large grants.)

**Corporate foundations represent an important source of support for arts and culture.**

Corporate foundations account for roughly 6% of overall US private and community foundation giving, and these larger corporate foundations included in the 2019 grants sample provided 3% of grant dollars for the arts (figure 3). Actual grant dollars totaled $85 million. By number, corporate foundations allocated 742 grants, or 3%, of the overall number of arts grants in 2019. Please note that these figures do not include direct corporate giving; the amount that corporations contribute to the arts is undoubtedly higher.

**Grants by Arts Subfield**

Funding for performing arts accounted for close to one-third of all foundation art dollars in 2019 (figure 4), surpassing the share reported for museums (24%). From the start of the 1980s until 1997, the performing arts have consistently received more foundation support than museums. However, museums surpassed the performing arts by share in the late 1990s to early 2000s and several times in recent years (2010, 2013, and 2014). The shifts in share between these two fields of activity from...
year to year could be due to the entry onto the scene of new and large arts funders, extraordinarily large grants, the contribution of valuable art collections, and new capital projects at museums.

**Giving to performing arts.**

In 2019, among a matched set of funders, performing arts grant dollars was down 1% compared to 2018, while the number of grants declined 3%. A total of 6,598 grants were awarded for the performing arts by foundations in the set — more than double the number reported for museums. In general, the average performing arts grant tends to be smaller in size than the average museum grant (around $122,000 versus $219,000 in 2019). The largest share of giving to the performing arts supported theaters and performing arts centers. Among the largest performing arts grant in the latest sample was a $55 million award from the Silicon Valley Community Foundation to the Philadelphia Orchestra Association. Included within the performing arts is support for performing arts education, which totaled $40.6 million in 2019. (See “Giving to multidisciplinary arts” below for a figure on foundation grant dollars supporting other types of arts education.)

**Giving to museums.**

In 2019, museums benefited from 2,754 grants totaling $604 million awarded by the 1,000 largest foundations included in the Foundation 1000 data set. More than half of funding supported art museums. Among a matched set of funders, grant dollars allocated to museums was down 20% between 2018 and 2019, while the number of grants declined 4%. The largest museum grant in 2019 was a $34.3 million grant from the Roy Lichtenstein Foundation to the Whitney Museum for donation of cash and artworks by Roy Lichtenstein for the museum’s collection of his work.

**Giving to the humanities.**

In 2019, the humanities benefited from 1,593 grants totaling $317 million awarded by the 1,000 largest foundations included in the Foundation 1000 data set. Funding for this area accounted for 13% of arts grant dollars in 2019, up from the 10% share captured in 2018. Among a matched set of funders, grant dollars awarded for the humanities increased 4%, while the number of grants awarded was down 2%.

**Giving to multidisciplinary arts.**

The share of arts giving for multidisciplinary arts went down to 12% in 2019 from 19% in 2018. Grant dollars awarded for multidisciplinary arts also declined 27% between 2018 and 2019 among the matched set of funders. Within multidisciplinary arts, arts services generally, defined as a provision of services to arts organizations, including facilities or touring assistance, accounts for more than half of funding. Among the various subcategories of multidisciplinary arts, arts education (excluding performing arts education) totaled $89 million in the latest year.

**Giving to historic preservation.**

Support for historic preservation increased 35% between 2018 and 2019 among a matched set of funders, while the number of grants awarded was up 12%. Among the largest grants awarded for historic preservation in the latest year was a $11.2 million grant from the Lilly Endowment to the National Trust for Historic Preservation for continued support for the National Fund for Sacred Places program. Overall, historic preservation benefited from 1,258 grants totaling $199.8 million in 2019.

**Giving to the visual arts.**

The visual arts and architecture benefited from $186 million in 2019 awarded by the 1,000 largest foundations included in the Foundation 1000 data set. Among a matched set of funders, grant dollars for the visual arts and architecture decreased 14% between 2018 and 2019, while the number of grants for the field remained basically unchanged.

**Grants by Support Strategy**

An important caveat to report with regard to the allocation of foundation dollars by specific
The arts compared to other foundation fields of giving.

The three largest categories of support tracked by Candid are program support, general operating support, and capital support.

General operating support accounted for the second largest share of arts grants dollars in 2019 (24% of all arts funding). The shares of grant dollars and number of grants allocated for this support strategy in 2019 were higher for arts and culture (24% and 25%, respectively) than the overall share directed to general operating support by the Foundation 1000 foundations, which accounted for 20% of grant dollars and 22% of the number of grants.

Capital support accounted for the third largest share of arts grant dollars. Similar to general support, the share of grant dollars allocated for this type of support was also higher for arts and culture (19%) than for grants overall (7%). Grants for capital support are larger on average than awards.

### TABLE 1. Distribution of grants by support strategy, 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Support strategy</th>
<th>Dollar amount</th>
<th>% of grants</th>
<th>No. of grants</th>
<th>% of grants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capacity-building and Technical assistance</td>
<td>125,689,373</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>528</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital and infrastructure</td>
<td>466,810,098</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>1,589</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building acquisitions</td>
<td>980,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building and renovations</td>
<td>159,925,840</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital campaigns</td>
<td>115,866,568</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collections acquisitions</td>
<td>22,016,443</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land acquisitions and preservation</td>
<td>82,078,498</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment</td>
<td>21,021,877</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities maintenance</td>
<td>6,421,344</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information technology</td>
<td>6,362,042</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land acquisitions</td>
<td>11,505,073</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rent</td>
<td>110,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other capital and infrastructure</td>
<td>69,613,432</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial sustainability</td>
<td>111,382,246</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>567</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual campaigns</td>
<td>10,768,268</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt reduction</td>
<td>1,796,768</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earned income</td>
<td>285,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency funds</td>
<td>206,309</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endowments</td>
<td>56,935,092</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial services</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fundraising</td>
<td>12,907,262</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sponsorships</td>
<td>2,976,054</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other financial sustainability</td>
<td>31,028,904</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General support</td>
<td>603,240,305</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>4,053</td>
<td>25.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual development and student aid</td>
<td>188,563,321</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>674</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership and professional development</td>
<td>64,948,377</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network-building</td>
<td>73,869,726</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>And collaboration</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participatory grantmaking</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy, advocacy, and systems reform</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advocacy</td>
<td>21,009,799</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coalition building</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equal access</td>
<td>31,686,091</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethics and accountability</td>
<td>2,020,000</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grassroots organizing</td>
<td>7,479,800</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Litigation</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public policy and systems reform</td>
<td>3,191,875</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other policy, advocacy, and systems reform</td>
<td>20,853,080</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publishing and productions</td>
<td>271,961,150</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>2257</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product and service development</td>
<td>12,268,367</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program support</td>
<td>760,058,569</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>4,893</td>
<td>30.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public engagement and marketing</td>
<td>90,624,984</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>592</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research and evaluation</td>
<td>84,352,648</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other specified strategies</td>
<td>77,547,760</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>332,724,863</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>2,860</td>
<td>17.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2,492,313,920</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>15,984</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Candid, 2022. Based on all grants of $10,000 or more awarded by 1,000 of the largest foundations representing approximately two-fifths of total giving by all US private and community foundations. Grants may occasionally be for multiple support strategies, e.g., for new works and for endowment, and would thereby be counted twice.
for program and general operating support, and exceptionally large capital grants can have a pronounced effect on the distribution of funding by support strategy.

**Arts grants by specific types of support.**

Table 1 provides a breakdown of more specific support strategies within the larger support categories and lists both the specific dollar value and number of grants made in each type. As for all data in the “snapshot,” it is important to keep in mind that this table includes only grants of $10,000 or more awarded to organizations by a sample of the top 1,000 foundations by total giving. It is also important to note that about 15% of the arts grant dollars in this sample did not have a specified support strategy.

**Grants by Grant Size**

**Median grant size.**

The median or “typical” grant amount for arts and culture in 2019 was $30,000 which was below the median amount for all foundation grants ($40,000). This is the fifth consecutive year that the median arts grant amount has exceeded $25,000.

**Small and midsized grants.**

Roughly two-thirds (60%) of all arts grants in the 2019 sample were for amounts between $10,000 and $49,999 (table 2), nearly unchanged from the 2018 share. The share of midsized arts grants ($50,000 to $499,999) also remained consistent, accounting for one-third of arts grants.

**Large grants.**

The share of larger arts grants ($500,000 and over) remained consistent at roughly 6% of the total
number of arts grants in 2019. Their share of total grant dollars remained roughly the same accounting for 63%. Overall, foundations in the sample made 134 arts grants of at least $2.5 million in 2019, down from 158 grants in 2018.

In addition to the $55 million award from the Silicon Valley Community Foundation to the Philadelphia Orchestra Association noted earlier, examples of other especially large grants in 2019 include Community Foundation of Greater Greensboro’s $24.5 million grant to the City of Greensboro for the Steven Tanger Center for the Performing Arts; a $19 million grant from Jay I. Kislak Foundation to University of Miami to enrich the University’s permanent art collection; and a $4.5 million
grant from The Andrew W. Mellon Foundation to the Academy of American Poets to support the continuation of the local poets laureate initiative.

**The twenty-five largest arts funders.**

The top twenty-five arts funders by giving amount provided 38% of the total arts dollars in Candid’s 2019 sample (table 3), lower than the 42% share from 2018. Overall, the share of giving accounted for by the top twenty-five arts funders has fluctuated between roughly 30 and 40% since the end of the 1990s.

**Top foundations by share of arts giving out of overall giving.**

Of the foundations that committed large percentages of their grant dollars to arts and culture, many are smaller foundations from the sample (table 4). Among the top one hundred foundations ranked by share of arts giving out of total giving, just over half (51 funders) gave less than $5 million in total arts grant dollars in 2019.

**Giving for International Cultural Exchange**

Grant dollars supporting international cultural exchange was down 52% between 2018 and 2019 among a matched subset of funders. In 2019, foundations awarded 84 grants related to international cultural exchange totaling $7.1 million. Among the larger awards was a $700K grant from the Andrew W. Mellon Foundation to Network of Ensemble Theaters to support the Network of Ensemble Theaters/Travel and Exchange Network.

---

*Reina Mukai currently serves as manager on the Global Projects & Partnerships team at Candid (formerly Foundation Center and GuideStar). In this role, she works on a range of research and data-driven projects. Mukai has authored numerous reports on national, regional, and special-topic trends in the field of philanthropy, among them, Giving in Illinois, Arts Funding Snapshot: GIA’s Annual Research on Support for Arts and Culture, and the Key Fact Sheet series. She also works with partners on custom data consulting services and research projects.*

**NOTES**

1. This calculation is based only on foundations for which 2018 and 2019 tax filings were available at the time of publication.
2. Candid’s 2019 Foundation 1000 set includes all grants of $10,000 or more reported by 1,000 of the largest US independent, corporate, community, and grantmaking operating foundations by total giving. For community foundations, the set includes only discretionary grants and donor-advised grants (when provided by the funder). The set excludes grants to individuals. This set accounts for approximately two-fifths of giving by all active US-based private and community foundations. Grant amounts may represent the full authorized amount of the grant or the amount paid in that year, depending on the information made available by each foundation.
3. Between 2018 and 2019 the composition of the Foundation 1000 has changed, which could distort year-to-year fluctuations in grant dollars targeting specific issue areas. To account for these potential distortions year to year, Candid has analyzed changes in giving based on a subset of 705 funders for which we had 2018 and 2019 data.
4. Included within the humanities is funding for art history, history and archaeology, classical and foreign languages, linguistics, literature, philosophy, and theology
5. Includes support for multidisciplinary centers, arts councils, artist's services, arts administration, arts exchange, and arts education.
6. Includes support for archaeology, art history, modern and classical languages, philosophy, ethics, theology, and comparative religion.
7. The median — meaning that half of the grants are above and half are below the amount — is generally acknowledged to be a more representative measure of the typical grant than the mean or “average,” because the median is not influenced by extreme high or low amounts.
8. Prior to 2015, the median amount had remained consistent at $25,000 since the early 1990s.
Public Funding for the Arts 2021

Ryan Stubbs, Mohja Rhoads, and Nakyung Rhee

In the US, public funding for the arts derives from federal, state and local government sources. The National Endowment for the Arts (NEA) receives congressional allocations. State Arts Agencies (SAAs) receive legislative appropriations from states and Local Arts Agencies (LAAs) receive funds from local expenditures. Together, these agencies distribute grants and services to artists and cultural organizations across the nation.

The arts, as many other industries, have been deeply impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic. Federal response in terms of the Coronavirus Aid, Relief and Economic Security (CARES) Act and the American Rescue Plan (ARP) Act have buffered some of these losses. The Fiscal Year 2021 report serves as a useful indicator of how public funding supported the arts during the pandemic and looks to how public support will continue into the future.

2021 Funding Levels

In fiscal year 2021, federal, state, and local funding for the arts totaled $1.31 billion for an aggregate per capita investment of $3.95. The FY2021 breakdowns are as follow:

- $167.5 million in appropriations to the Arts Endowment, an increase of 3.2% from FY2020.
- $402.8 million in legislative appropriations to state and jurisdictional arts agencies, a decrease of 17.8% from FY2020.\(^1\)
- $740 million in funds allocated by municipal and county governments to local arts agencies, which decreased 6.2% from FY2020.

Trends over Time

Before the pandemic, aggregate public arts funding was surpassing the highest periods of the early 2000s. In nominal dollars (not adjusted for inflation), public funding increased by nearly 17% from 2000 to 2020.

Fiscal year 2020 serves as a funding milestone before the pandemic hit since most authorizing timeframes were taking place in calendar year 2019. Appropriations for this year hit an all-time high for states arts agencies and the NEA saw a modest increase while local arts agency expenditures declined. State and federal arts budgets were less affected by mid-year fiscal changes brought about by the pandemic when compared to local arts agencies. A majority of local arts agencies budgeted an increase in expenditures for their FY2020, however, as a result of COVID-19, 62% experienced a decline in expenditures.\(^2\)

The weight of the COVID-19 pandemic is reflected in fiscal year 2021. In 2020, general fund revenue declined for the first time since the Great Recession resulting in mid-year budget cuts that continued into 2021. As such, SAA appropriations decreased almost 18% for FY2021. Local Arts Agency expenditures continued to decline in FY2021 and NEA allocations saw a steady increase due to the stability of federal funding.

Along with these decreases, public funding for the arts has not kept pace with inflation. When adjusting for inflation, total public funding decreased by 31% from 2001 to 2021. This amounts to a decrease of 41% for SAA appropriations and a 30% decrease for LAA funding.

Contrarily, federal funds have increased by 4.5%.

Serving a Growing Population

Measuring per capita public funding (funding by number of people) reveals if funding is increasing to meet growing populations. This is an important yardstick given that funds originate from all taxpayers. Combined federal, state, and local arts funding yielded a per capita investment of $3.95 per person in 2021, a decrease of 10% from 2020. This per capita figure disaggregates to a federal investment of $0.50, a state investment of $1.21, and a local investment of $2.23. When taking inflation into consideration, total per capita appropriation falls even lower to $2.57 in 2021. This analysis shows that the decreasing value of the dollar, coupled with an increasing population, increases the strain on public arts funding.

FIGURE 1. Federal, state, and local government appropriations, 2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NEA</th>
<th>SAAs</th>
<th>LAAs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$168</td>
<td>$403</td>
<td>$740</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MILLIONS
Looking Forward and the Implications of COVID-19

Fiscal Year 2021 is an anomaly on a variety of levels. Prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, the majority of states were seeing positive revenue increases and forecasting the increase to continue. The COVID-19 pandemic drastically changed this course. As most of the US went into lockdown, many states and local governments saw revenue decreases resulting in budget cuts and reduced spending for the arts. Because much of the arts are in-person experiences, the arts have been uniquely affected, and continue to be affected by the pandemic.

Federal support through the CARES and ARP Acts have been an important bandage that federal and state governments are using to address artistic communities in need. The CARES Act, passed in March 2020, included $75 million in funds distributed through the National Endowment for the Arts. Passed in March of 2021, the ARP Act included $135 million in funding to be distributed through the NEA. By law, 40% of these dollars were allocated to state arts agencies and regional arts organizations, which are regranting them through state level arts relief programs.

Apart from these Arts Endowment funds, the ARP Act included an additional $350 billion in aid to states and localities. A growing number of state and local governments are electing to use a portion of these dollars as relief funding for art organizations and artists. At the time of this publication, 29 states and 3 jurisdictions are in the process of tapping into this aid for the cultural sector.
While economic uncertainty continues into 2022, favorable fiscal circumstances including the influx of cash from the federal CARES and ARP Acts, have led to increased state arts agency revenues, similar to and exceeding in some cases, pre-pandemic levels.

Data collected for state arts agencies through revenue surveys shows that most SAAs will see a 61% increase in appropriations in fiscal year 2022, even when not including line item funding or other state ARP allocations to arts and culture. For example, the California Arts Council received more than $100 million in one-time funding to be allocated over three-years for creative workforce and youth development programs. South Carolina, Connecticut and New Jersey, also received increased general appropriations and/or non-recurring additional funding which brought their appropriations to all-time highs.

At the time of publication, NEA FY2022 appropriations have not been decided. The House and Senate have both put forward proposals to significantly increase funding for the National Endowment for the Arts (showing continued support of the federal-state partnership). Those increases will not be realized until Congress passes the FY2022 budget bill. In December 2021, congress passed a continuing resolution that extends current federal funding until February 18, 2022. In the meantime, while resolutions are in place, the Endowment will continue to operate under its existing funding level of $167.5 million.

Fiscal Year 2022 local arts agency revenues have not yet been released, but known NEA investments in local arts agencies are a positive sign. On November 18, 2021, the NEA announced that over $20 million in ARP funds will be allotted to 66 LAAs for subgranting.

Relief funding and the reopening of the economy have helped state and local budgets in the near term, however, sustained growth for public funding for the arts will depend on multiple factors — and perhaps most importantly, whether or not state and local budgets can continue to grow in the absence of federal relief. Thus far, several states have forecasted increases in revenue on par with 2021 and 2022. These increases should be understood in the context of rising inflation. Inflation has increased disproportionately in 2021 as high consumer demand was not met due to broken supply chains arising from the pandemic. 2021 saw a 7% increase in inflation, the highest increase since 1982. Revenue increases will be dampened by inflation.

**Implications for Grant Making**

During the pandemic, agencies at all levels of government have had to modify existing grant programs or quickly create new grants to serve organizations and individuals in crisis. Universally, SAAs allowed for flexibility in the administration of grant funds yielding a range of strategies to serve constituents in need. Examples of adaptations included: allowing grantees to utilize project support for operating support; allowing for proposed programming to switch from in-person to online activities; extending deadlines over a longer period; and reducing matching requirements. At the federal level, the NEA allowed for flexibilities such as deadline extension and reasonable programmatic adjustments. Such modifications enabled dollars to go to more programs in need. Anecdotal narratives show that CARES Act funding was instrumental in keeping arts organizations afloat and providing art to communities in need.

Before the pandemic, direct federal grants from the National Endowment for the Arts totaled...
2,384 and $58.8 million in fiscal year 2020. Another $105,483,450 million from the Endowment, or about 40% of the Endowment’s appropriation, was awarded to state and regional agencies for further grantmaking and related services. This figure includes CARES Act funding.

In turn, state arts agencies work in partnership and provide funding and services with many local government arts agencies. State arts agencies invest almost $37 million in local arts agencies. These grants help them secure additional public and private dollars at the local level. A large portion of state arts agency grants to local agencies (31%) is for operating support — flexible dollars that can be used to support community arts activities and operations in accordance with local needs and circumstances. In addition to funding performances, exhibitions, and other programs, some SAAs also fund decentralized grantmaking through local arts agencies.

Local governments invest more dollars on arts and culture when compared to states and the National Endowment for Arts, but local arts agencies are less likely to focus their services on grantmaking. According to the 2019 local arts agency census from Americans for the Arts, 58% of local arts agencies operate direct grantmaking programs. Larger percentages of local arts agencies support direct culture programming (84%) and manage cultural facilities (62%). 28% also provide non-grant contracts to organizations.

**Grantmaking across Sectors**

Grantmaking across public and private sectors provide funding for a diverse array of programs, organizations, and services. However, there is not an exact alignment when comparing grantmaking data across these sectors. Local arts agencies, for instance, do not currently use a standard data collection process across the nation. While imperfect, we can loosely compare grantmaking size and distribution, and specific grant categories. To understand relative investments across public and private funders using published data from state arts agencies, the National Endowment for the Arts and Candid’s 2018 data on the 1000 largest foundations.

Like per capita calculations, analyzing the number of awards and the size of awards proves useful when assessing how public funds serve the entire population. The distribution of grants from publicly funded art agencies reflects the priority of reaching more communities across the country. In order to reach more constituents with the amount of appropriations a public entity receives, many of these grants will be small in size. To illustrate this, state arts agencies have a median award value of $5,000. With CARES Act funding, the 2020 median award amount from the National Endowment for the Arts is $25,000 and 51% of all Endowment grants are less than $25,000 in size. Subtracting CARES Act funding, the 2020 median award from the NEA was $20,000 and 51% are less than $20,000 in size. In contrast, the 1,000 largest foundation award higher levels of grant dollars, 92% of which are above $50,000.

Another important point of comparison is the types of support strategies employed by public and private funders. Foundations and state arts agencies make significant grant investments in operating support. Foundations spent approximately 25% of their arts and culture grant dollars on operating support in 2018. In terms of the percentage of dollars invested, both foundations and state arts agencies make the largest commitment to operational support. 40% of state arts agency grant dollars and 25% of all state arts agency awards went to operational supporting fiscal year 2019.

The Arts Endowment’s statute prohibits the agency from awarding operating support grants. The National Endowment for the Arts invests over $50 million dollars in state arts agencies and regional art organizations. These dollars are not operating support for individual arts organizations, but they help enable state arts agencies and local arts agencies to make investments in operating support by increasing the total dollars agencies have available for grants and services.

Outside of operating support, it is possible to compare a few other grant categories and activity types. Foundations, state arts agencies and the National Endowment for the Arts all make investments in museums and arts education. A key contrast between public and private sectors is investment in capital construction and physical infrastructure. The National Endowment for the Arts does not provide funding for capital construction and relatively few state arts agencies make grants for facilities. Foundations bear the load for funding physical cultural infrastructure in the United States, with roughly 14% of foundation grant dollars going to capital and infrastructure.

Another challenging topic for grantmakers is investment in individual artists and fellowships. At the local level, 39% of awards go to individual artists, and 42% of local arts agencies provide non-grant contracts to individual artists. Many state arts agencies also devote a portion of their grants...
to individual artists. In FY2019, state arts agencies made 2,905 awards to individual artists. When compared to other types of applicants, awards to individual artists were the second most frequent type of award made by state arts agencies. The Arts Endowment makes a few selected investments in individual artists through National Heritage Fellows, Jazz Masters, and Literature Fellowships, but otherwise is statutorily restricted from awarding grant funds to individual artists. Private foundations also fund individuals, but comparison data is not available.

Private and public funders fulfill different roles within the arts and cultural ecosystem and the importance of these varied roles is apparent during a crisis. Federal support as administered through state and local governments was crucial in maintaining cultural institutions, communities and artists in the early stages of the pandemic. Private and public arts funders collaborated in relief efforts to respond as quickly and as equitably as possible. As arts funders navigate what is to come in 2022 and beyond, the need for government as a purveyor of support to cultural institutions, communities and artists — along with a robust private sector — is more apparent than ever.

Ryan Stubbs is senior director of research at the National Assembly of State Arts Agencies.

Mohja Rhoads is a research manager at the National Assembly of State Arts Agencies.

Nakyung Rhee is a research associate at the National Assembly of State Arts Agencies.

NOTES
3. https://nasaa-arts.org/research/funding/
5. https://fao.ca.gov/Publications/Report/4472#Budget_Condition
13. NASAA, State Arts Agency Support for Individual Artist Fact Sheet https://nasaa-arts.org/nasaa_research/indivartistgrantmakingfactsheet0316/
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